

STATE OF MAINE

YORK, ss.

SUPERIOR COURT  
CIVIL ACTION  
DOCKET NO. CV-06-89

APR 10 2008 3/12/08

BRIAN MACDONALD,

Plaintiff

v.

ORDER

THOMAS FULLERTON and  
NORTHEAST CONTROLS, INC.,

Defendants

Following review, but without further hearing, the Defendants' Motion for Additional Findings is Denied. The record contains sufficient written findings to apprise the parties of the Court's rational and to permit effective appellate review.<sup>1</sup>

Dated: March 11, 2008



G. Arthur Brennan  
Justice, Superior Court

PLAINTIFFS:

Daniel J. Murphy, Esq.  
Bernstein Shur Sawyer & Nelson  
PO Box 9729  
Portland ME 04104-5029

DEFENDANTS:

Timothy Dietz, Esq.  
Nadeau Law LLC  
883 Main Street Suite 1  
Sanford ME 04073

---

<sup>1</sup> It should be noted that while requests for additional findings are explicitly recognized under our rules (Rule 52(b) M.R.Civ.P.), "it is inappropriate to pose interrogatories to the court under the guise of a request for findings." *Rice v. Sebasticook Valley Hospital*, 487 A.2d 639, at ft.nt. 1, 640 (Me. 1985).