

Decision: 2016 ME 79
Docket: Ken-14-551
Argued: November 5, 2015
Decided: May 26, 2016
Corrected: October 6, 2016

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.
Majority: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.
Dissent: JABAR, J.

LOUISE DORR

v.

SARAH WOODARD

ERRATA SHEET

The opinion of this Court certified on May 26, 2016, is amended as follows:

1. The last sentence of Footnote 4 is amended as follows:

See Child and Family Services and Child Protection Act, 22 M.R.S. §§ 4001 to 4099-H (2015); 18-A M.R.S. §§ 5-201 to -5-213 (2015) (guardians of minors); 18-A M.R.S. §§ 9-301 to 9-315 (2015) (adoption).

2. The second sentence of [¶35] is amended as follows:

In *Rideout*, 2000 ME 198, 761 A.2d 291, the Court concluded that a grandparent could establish a “sufficient existing relationship” with a grandchild, and thereby establish standing to seek contact with the grandchild pursuant to 19-A M.R.S. § 1803(1)(B), by proving that the grandparent had served as “the primary caregiver and custodian for [the] child over a significant period of time.”

3. In the last sentence of [¶41], the citation is corrected as follows:

DeRose v. DeRose, 643 N.W.2d 259, 263 (Mich. Ct. App. 2002)

The original opinion on the Judicial Branch website has been replaced with the opinion as corrected.