

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

JESSICA LEWIS,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v

JAMES FRANCES LEGROW,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

FOR PUBLICATION

August 21, 2003

9:05 a.m.

No. 234723

Genesee Circuit Court

LC No. 99-066423-NZ

---

BETHANY L. DENNIS,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v

JAMES FRANCES LEGROW,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

No. 234726

Genesee Circuit Court

LC No. 99-066668-NZ

---

AMY SHEMANSKI,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v

JAMES FRANCES LEGROW,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

No. 234727

Genesee Circuit Court

LC No. 00-066945-NZ

Updated Copy

October 10, 2003

Before: Markey, P.J., and Cavanagh and Hoekstra, JJ.

HOEKSTRA, J., (*concurring*).

I agree with the majority opinion in all respects, except with regard to certain portions of section IV, which addresses the trial court's evidentiary decisions. Despite my disagreement concerning the relevancy of certain evidence, I concur, however, in the majority's result because

I believe that declining to grant relief is not inconsistent with substantial justice. MCR 2.613(A); *Chastain v Gen Motors Corp*, 467 Mich 888; 654 NW2d 326 (2002); *Miller v Hensley*, 244 Mich App 528, 531; 624 NW2d 582 (2001).

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra