

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v

NICHOLAS MAURY,

Defendant-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

November 14, 1997

No. 197630

Recorder's Court

LC No. 96-000639

Before: Saad, P.J., and O'Connell and Matuzak,* JJ.

PER CURIAM.

Defendant appeals from his bench trial conviction for larceny from a person, MCL 750.357; MSA 28.589. The trial judge sentenced him to two to ten years imprisonment. We affirm.

Defendant argues that the evidence presented at trial was insufficient. We disagree. Defendant's brief on appeal does not explain the nature of the deficiency or what, if any, elements were not proven. Instead, his brief on appeal merely asserts "insufficient evidence exists to support a conviction." Defendant has abandoned this issue by failing to argue it on appeal. *People v Gaines*, 129 Mich App 439, 446; 341 NW2d 519 (1983). Moreover, viewing the evidence presented at trial in a light most favorable to the prosecution, a rational trier of fact could have found the essential elements of larceny from a person proven beyond a reasonable doubt. *People v Petrella*, 424 Mich 221, 268-270; 380 NW2d 11 (1985); *People v Wallace*, 173 Mich App 420, 426; 434 NW2d 422 (1988).

Affirmed.

/s/ Henry William Saad

/s/ Peter D. O'Connell

/s/ Michael J. Matuzak

* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.