

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

In the Matter of BILLIE JEAN BYRD and ARDELL  
SHELTON, Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

JEANETTE BYRD,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

WALTER SHELTON and JOHN  
SHELTON, a/k/a JACKY BLEDSOE,

Respondents.

---

Before: Wahls, P.J., and Jansen and Gage, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

The juvenile court entered an order terminating the parental rights of respondent-appellant to the two minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b) (3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). Respondent-appellant appeals as of right. We affirm.

In order to terminate parental rights, the court must find that at least one of the statutory grounds for termination has been met by clear and convincing evidence. *In re McIntyre*, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1991). Once a statutory ground for termination has been met by clear and convincing evidence, the court shall order termination of parental rights, unless the court finds that termination of parental rights is clearly not in the best interests of the child. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997).

Here, the juvenile court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re McIntyre, supra* at 50. Further, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interest. *In re Hall-Smith, supra*. Thus, the juvenile court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights.

Affirmed.

/s/ Myron H. Wahls

/s/ Kathleen Jansen

/s/ Hilda R. Gage