STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of JOHNATHAN EMANUEL WEBSTER, VIRGINIA DEANNA WEBSTER and ANDRE DARRELL WEBSTER, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

CEOLA WEBSTER,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

JAMES JONES, CHARLIE ROBERTS and ERNEST ROBINSON,

Respondents.

Before: Murphy, P.J., and Young, Jr. and M. R. Smith*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm.

Respondent-appellant concedes that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence, but maintains that termination of her parental rights was improper as being contrary to the children's best interests. We disagree. Under MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA

UNPUBLISHED July 17, 1998

No. 205816 Wayne Juvenile Court LC No. 79-216672

^{*} Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

27.3178(598.19b)(5), the juvenile court was required to order termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights, unless the court found that termination was clearly not in the children's best interests. Respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the juvenile court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights.

Affirmed.

/s/ William B. Murphy /s/ Robert P. Young, Jr. /s/ Michael R. Smith