STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of DEMARCO CORTEZ JONES and JAMIE JAMES CALHOUN, JR., Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

JAMES CALHOUN,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

ALICIA JONES,

Respondent.

Before: Hood, P.J., and Griffin and O'Connell, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from a juvenile court order terminating his parental rights to his child, Jamie James Calhoun, Jr., under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j).¹ We affirm.

Respondent-appellant did not seek judicial review of the referee's recommendation to terminate parental rights in accordance with MCR 5.991. In any event, we are not persuaded that respondent-appellant has shown clear error in the determination that at least one statutory ground for termination, specifically § 19b(3)(g), was established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of his parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 464 NW2d 156 (1997).

UNPUBLISHED September 25, 1998

No. 207048 Wayne Juvenile Court LC No. 95-328270 Thus, we uphold the judge's entry of the order terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights based on the referee's recommendation.

Affirmed.

/s/ Harold Hood /s/ Richard Allen Griffin /s/ Peter D. O'Connell

¹ We agree with petitioner that it is unclear from the record whether termination was also ordered under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii). Nonetheless, it is unnecessary to address the applicability of this statutory ground because it is not dispositive of this appeal, inasmuch as only one statutory ground for termination need be established.