

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of JAMIE JO CRAMIER, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

JAMES EDWARD CRAMIER,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

JACQUELYN HEITKAMP,

Respondent.

---

UNPUBLISHED

October 30, 1998

No. 210942

Mecosta Juvenile Court

LC No. 95-002937 NA

Before: Young Jr., P.J., and Wahls and Jansen, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent James Edward Cramier appeals as of right from an order terminating his parental rights to his daughter, Jamie Jo Cramier, pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm.

The juvenile court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCL 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Further, respondent failed to show that termination of his parental rights was clearly not in his child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, *supra*. Thus, the juvenile court did not err in terminating respondent's parental rights to the child. *Id.*

Affirmed.

/s/ Robert P. Young, Jr.

/s/ Myron H. Wahls

/s/ Kathleen Jansen