STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of SETH CRAWFORD, and DEBORAH CRAWFORD, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

December 8, 1998

Petitioner-Appellee,

DELORES ANN CRAWFORD,

No. 211938 Berrien Juvenile Court LC No. 97-000039 NA

UNPUBLISHED

Respondent-Appellant.

Before: Sawyer, P.J., and Wahls and Hoekstra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

v

Respondent appeals as of right from a juvenile court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(ii), (f), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(ii), (f), (g), and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The juvenile court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination under §§ 19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(ii) and (g) were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Because respondent failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in her children's best interests, the juvenile court was required to terminate respondent's parental rights. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); MCR 5.974(E)(2); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997).

Finally the juvenile court's refusal to grant counsel's motion to withdraw does not justify a presumption of ineffective assistance, see *People v Mitchell*, 454 Mich 145, 158-162, 171; 560 NW2d 600 (1997), and, limiting our review to the record, respondent has not established any basis for

relief due to ineffective assistance of counsel. *Mitchell*, *supra* at 155-156; *People v LaVearn*, 448 Mich 207, 216; 528 NW2d 721 (1995).

Affirmed.

/s/ David H. Sawyer

/s/ Myron H. Wahls

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra