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## MEMORANDUM.

Respondent Karrie Bailey appeals as of right from the trial court's order terminating her parental rights to the minor child, Aaron Martin, pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (c)(ii), and (g); MSA $27.3178(598.19 b)(3)(c)(\mathrm{i})$, (c)(ii), and (g). ${ }^{1}$ We affirm.

A court may terminate parental rights if the court finds, by clear and convincing evidence, that one of the statutory grounds for termination exists. MCL 712A.19b(3); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3); In re McIntyre, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1991). The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. In re Hamlet (After Remand), 225 Mich App 505, 515; 571 NW2d 750 (1997). Furthermore, respondent has failed to meet her burden in establishing a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, People v Effinger, 212 Mich App 67, 69; 536 NW2d 809
(1995), based upon the record presented. People v Darden, 230 Mich App 597, 604; 585 NW2d 27 (1998). ${ }^{2}$

Affirmed.

/s/ Harold Hood<br>/s/ Henry William Saad<br>/s/ Peter D. O'Connell

${ }^{1}$ The parental rights of the minor child's father, Jeromy Martin, were also terminated pursuant to this same order, but he has appealed the termination of his parental rights.
${ }^{2}$ When analyzing claims of ineffective assistance of counsel at termination hearings, we apply, by analogy, the principles of ineffective assistance of counsel as developed in the criminal law context. In re Simon, 171 Mich App 443, 447; 431 NW2d 71 (1988).

