

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of BUSTER JAMES BRYANT, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

PHYLLISTINE CARRY BRYANT,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

EUGENE HOLLIS, JR., a/k/a EUGENE HOLLINS,  
JR.,

Respondent.

---

UNPUBLISHED

May 30, 2000

No. 220998

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 97-357945

Before: Hoekstra, P.J., and Holbrook, Jr., and Zahra, JJ.

**MEMORANDUM.**

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j).<sup>1</sup> We affirm.

Any error in the family court's finding that respondent-appellant "continues to lack insight into her mental health issues" was harmless, inasmuch as this was just one of several factors mentioned by the court as a basis for its conclusion that the statutory grounds for termination were established, and the court's remaining findings of fact amply support its conclusion that the grounds for termination under §§ 19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j) were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974; *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Respondent-appellant does not allege that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA

27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the child. *Id.*

Affirmed.

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra

/s/ Donald E. Holbrook, Jr.

/s/ Brian K. Zahra

<sup>1</sup> Although respondent-appellant asserts that her parental rights were also terminated under § 19b(3)(a)(ii), the record indicates that only the father's parental rights were terminated under that subsection.