STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of MARQIETTA PURNELL, CHARITA PURNELL, DESMOND PURNELL, ARICHMOND PURNELL, DENZEL PURNELL, MARCUS PURNELL and CHRISTIAN PURNELL, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

V

CELESTINE PURNELL,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

MARVIN HAILEY,

Respondent.

Before: Murphy, P.J., and Kelly and Talbot, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974; In re Miller, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5) termination of parental rights was required unless the court found that termination was clearly not in the children's best

UNPUBLISHED August 8, 2000

No. 220429 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 97-361854

interest. In re Trejo, _	Mich (N	No. 112528, issu	ied 7/5/2000) sl	ip op p 27.	On this record	l, we
do not conclude that the	court's finding	was clearly erro	oneous or that ter	rmination wa	s clearly not in	ı the
children's best interest.	Accordingly, th	ne court did not o	err in terminatin	g respondent	's parental righ	nt to
the children. <i>Id</i> .						

Affirmed.

/s/ William B. Murphy

/s/ Michael J. Kelly

/s/ Michael J. Talbot