STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of MARTY EDWARD GARLAND, DANIEL JAMES BAKER and ANGEL MARIE BAKER, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

PAULETTE SROKA,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

MARTY K. GARLAND and JAMES R. BAKER,

Respondents.

Before: McDonald, P.J., and Neff and Fitzgerald, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-Appellant Paulette Sroka appeals as of right the order terminating her parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm.

We are satisfied from our review of the record that the family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1998). Evidence was presented that respondent could not provide proper care and custody for the children unless she cooperated in counseling, successfully completed parenting classes, and took her medication to control her behavior. Evidence was also presented that respondent had made little, if any, progress in her parenting skills despite numerous referrals, that respondent's behavior was resistant to change, and that there was no reasonable likelihood that change would occur in the foreseeable future. Hence, termination was warranted pursuant to § § 19b(3)(c)(i) and (g).

UNPUBLISHED December 26, 2000

No. 227113 Macomb Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 97-044070-NA Further, there is no clear evidence, on the whole record, that termination was not in the children's best interest. *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

Affirmed.

/s/ Gary R. McDonald /s/ Janet T. Neff /s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald