STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of PAUL EARL HAWN, DAVID ROBERT HAWN, KEVIN DAVID HAWN and SAMUEL LEE HAWN, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

DAVID KEVIN SCHLACHT,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

INA MARIE HAWN, PAUL WILBURN HAWKINS and ALBERT LOZEN,

Respondents.

In the Matter of PAUL EARL HAWN, DAVID ROBERT HAWN, KEVIN DAVID HAWN and SAMUEL LEE HAWN, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

V

INA MARIE HAWN,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

UNPUBLISHED March 30, 2001

No. 227530 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 98-364982-NA

No. 227610 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 98-364982-NA

DAVID KEVIN SCHLACHT, PAUL WILBURN HAWKINS and ALBERT LOZEN,

Respondents.	

Before: Talbot, P.J., and Sawyer and F. L. Borchard*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondents-appellants appeal as of right from the family court order terminating their parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178.598.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further the evidence did not show that termination of respondents-appellants' parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondents-appellants' parental rights to the children.

Affirmed.

/s/ Michael J. Talbot

/s/ David H. Sawyer

/s/ Fred L. Borchard

* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.