STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of DANIEL BOTHEL and TERRY DAVID BOTHEL, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

LAURA NABORS f/k/a LAURA BOTHEL,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

DENNIS BOTHEL,

Respondent.

Before: Fitzgerald, P.J., and Gage and C. H. Miel*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). The family court's finding that petitioner had made reasonable efforts at reunification was not clearly erroneous. Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5), *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

UNPUBLISHED August 24, 2001

No. 224736 Macomb Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 97-044171

^{*} Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Therefore, the family court did not err in terminating respondent's parental rights to the minor children.

Affirmed.

/s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald /s/ Hilda R. Gage /s/ Charles H. Miel