

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of LMH, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

ROBERT HERNDEN,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

ALICE DAVIS,

Respondent.

In the Matter of LMH, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

ALICE DAVIS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

ROBERT HERNDEN,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED
December 18, 2001

No. 231717
Macomb Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 94-040463-NA

No. 231861
Macomb Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 94-040463-NA

Before: White, P.J., and Talbot and E.R. Post*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondents appeal as of right from the trial court's order terminating their parental rights to the minor child. The court terminated respondent-father's parental rights under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), and (g). Respondent-mother's parental rights were terminated under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (l). We affirm. These appeals are being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

We review for clear error both the trial court's decision whether a statutory ground for termination was proven by clear and convincing evidence and its decision regarding a child's best interests. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). We conclude that the trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence with respect to both respondents. Furthermore, considered in its entirety, the evidence did not show that termination of respondents' parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. *Id.* Thus, the trial court did not clearly err in terminating respondents' parental rights to the child.

Affirmed.

/s/ Helene N. White
/s/ Michael J. Talbot
/s/ Edward R. Post

* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.