

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v

RODNEY COLEMAN,

Defendant-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

February 8, 2002

No. 226729

Ingham Circuit Court

LC No. 99-074888-FC

Before: Sawyer, P.J., and O'Connell and Zahra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Following a jury trial, defendant was convicted of armed robbery, MCL 750.529, and the trial court sentenced him to four to twenty years' imprisonment. Defendant appeals as of right. We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

Defendant's sole claim on appeal is that he was denied a fair trial by the court's dismissal of a potential juror during voir dire for stating that he believed that physical evidence is "crucial" without further inquiry to determine if he could follow the instructions of the court. However, defendant did not object to the court's dismissal of the prospective juror and he expressed satisfaction with the jury ultimately empanelled. A claim that the trial court's voir dire of prospective jurors deprived the defendant of a fair trial is waived when the defendant fails to object to the court's voir dire and expresses satisfaction with the jury. *People v White*, 168 Mich App 596, 604; 425 NW2d 193 (1988); *People v Rose*, 268 Mich 529, 531; 256 NW 536 (1934). This waiver extinguished any error, precluding appellate review. *People v Carter*, 462 Mich 206, 216; 612 NW2d 144 (2000).

Affirmed.

/s/ David H. Sawyer

/s/ Peter D. O'Connell

/s/ Brian K. Zahra