

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of D. M. W., J. M. W., L. C. W., and
K. C. W., Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

SONYA WASHINGTON,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

RAYMOND HAWK, MARCO SIMMONS,
MANDINGO TOLBERT, and WILLIAM HALL,

Respondents.

UNPUBLISHED
February 19, 2002

No. 234763
Wayne Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 99-374549

Before: Neff, P.J., and Cavanagh and Saad, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent mother appeals by delayed leave granted from the trial court's order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), (j), and (k)(i). We affirm.

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that § 19b(3)(g) was established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Because only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights, we need not address whether termination was warranted under the remaining statutory grounds. Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent mother's parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(3)(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 352-354, 356; 612 NW2d 407 (2000); see also MCR 5.974(E)(2). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent mother's parental rights to the children.

We decline to consider respondent mother's claims that the trial court erred in its questioning of witnesses and by refusing to grant two adjournments. These issues are not raised in the statement of questions presented and, therefore, are not properly before this Court. *In re BKD*, 246 Mich App 212, 218; 631 NW2d 535 (2001); *Brookshire-Big Tree Ass'n v Oneida Twp*, 225 Mich App 196, 201; 570 NW2d 294 (1997); MCR 7.212(C)(5). Moreover, our careful review of the record in this case leads us to conclude that respondent's claims of error with regard to these issues are without merit.

Affirmed.

/s/ Janet T. Neff
/s/ Mark J. Cavanagh
/s/ Henry William Saad