

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of R.R., R.R., D.R., and R.R., Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

ROBERT RICKETT and SUSAN RICKETT,

Respondents-Appellants.

UNPUBLISHED

November 26, 2002

No. 242113

Sanilac Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 99-033468-NA

Before: O’Connell, P.J., and White and B. B. MacKenzie*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondents appeal by delayed leave granted from the trial court’s order terminating their parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c), (g), and (m).¹ We affirm.

Respondents’ sole claim on appeal is that the trial court clearly erred in terminating their parental rights to the minor children. However, respondents have not properly presented this issue for our review because their arguments are not directed at the statutory criteria of MCL 712A.19b(3)(c), (g), and (m). See *Eldred v Ziny*, 246 Mich App 142, 149-150; 631 NW2d 748 (2001); *Joerger v Gordon Food Service, Inc*, 224 Mich App 167, 175; 568 NW2d 365 (1997). In any event, we agree that the trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. See MCR 5.974(I); *In re Sours*, 459 Mich 624, 633; 593 NW2d 520 (1999); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, the evidence did not establish that termination was clearly not in the children’s

¹ Only Susan Rickett’s parental rights were terminated under MCL 712A.19b(3)(m).

* Former Court of Appeals judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

best interests. See MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 354; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

Affirmed.

/s/ Peter D. O'Connell

/s/ Helene N. White

/s/ Barbara B. MacKenzie