

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

In the Matter of RYRAN ROMELO YOUNG,  
TONY OLIVER HUNTER, JR., MARTEZ  
LAKENTA HUNTER, and DANISHA CHERRY,  
Minors.

---

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,  
  
Petitioner-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED  
November 14, 2006

v

GENISA GENIA BEASLEY, a/k/a GENISA  
JEANIE BEASLEY,

No. 268276  
Wayne Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 02-406063-NA

Respondent-Appellant,

and

RYRAN LAMAR YOUNG and TONY OLIVER  
HUNGER,

Respondents.

---

Before: Fort Hood, P.J., and Murray and Donofrio, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm.

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 3.977(J); *In re Sours*, 459 Mich 624, 633; 593 NW2d 520 (1999); *In re Gazella*, 264 Mich App 668, 672; 692 NW2d 708 (2005); *In re McIntyre*, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1993). The conditions that led to adjudication were respondent-appellant's incarceration and her inability upon her release to provide proper care and custody for the children. At trial, two and a half years later, respondent-appellant was still unable to provide proper care and custody for the children. She was living in her grandmother's three-bedroom home, which would not have sufficiently housed the two adults and four children. She had never demonstrated an ability to maintain stable housing or

income. She claimed that she had applied to become a state-paid caretaker for her grandmother. However, that would bring in only between \$300 and \$400 a month, and there was no guarantee that she would qualify for that job. Her grandmother was 73 years old, unable to care for herself, and received only \$479 a month. Even if respondent-appellant qualified as a caretaker for her grandmother, there would not be sufficient income to support the needs of the children.

Furthermore, the evidence did not show that termination of her parental rights was contrary to the best interests of the children. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 353; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Respondent-appellant regularly attended visitation and parented appropriately at visitation, and the worker testified that respondent-appellant and the children were bonded. However, a review of the whole record reveals that respondent-appellant had not been able to provide a suitable and adequate home or sufficient income to provide the proper care and custody of the children. The evidence gave no indication that she would be able to do so within a reasonable time. The children required stability and permanence in their lives.

Affirmed.

/s/ Karen M. Fort Hood  
/s/ Christopher M. Murray  
/s/ Pat M. Donofrio