

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

ANDREW LEO TANNER,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

MICHELLE MARIE FRITSCHÉ,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED
November 5, 2009

No. 287904
Ottawa Circuit Court
LC No. 08-062246-PP

Before: Stephens, P.J., and Cavanagh and Owens, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from the order of the circuit court denying her motion to terminate a personal protection order (PPO). We dismiss this appeal as moot. This appeal has been decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

“As a general rule, an appellate court will not decide moot issues.” *B P 7 v Bureau of State Lottery*, 231 Mich App 356, 359; 586 NW2d 117 (1998). “An issue is deemed moot when an event occurs that renders it impossible for a reviewing court to grant relief.” *Id.*

Because the PPO in this case expired on August 8, 2009, it is impossible or unnecessary for this Court to fashion any remedy, even if any of respondent’s objections were found to have merit. Because the PPO expired by its own terms, there is nothing for this Court to resolve, and a remand to the circuit court for a new hearing would serve no purpose.

Further, we conclude that the issues are without public significance, and that respondent does not set forth any collateral negative consequence of the continued existence of the now-expired PPO of sufficient import to compel this Court to review its merits. See *Hayford v Hayford*, 279 Mich App 324, 325; 760 NW2d 503 (2008) (concluding that relief could be granted in connection with an expired PPO issued against a gun manufacturer because the order’s continued existence threatened his federal firearms license).

Appeal dismissed.

/s/ Cynthia Diane Stephens

/s/ Mark J. Cavanagh

/s/ Donald S. Owens