

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

DANA MARIE COLWELL,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

MICHAEL ANTHONY SCHULTZ,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

January 12, 2010

No. 291924

Saginaw Circuit Court

LC No. 09-004115-PH

Before: K. F. Kelly, P.J., and Hoekstra and Whitbeck, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent, Michael Schultz, appeals as of right from a circuit court order denying his motion to terminate a personal protection order (PPO). We dismiss this appeal as moot. We decide this appeal without oral argument.¹

Petitioner Dana Colwell obtained an ex parte PPO against Schultz in February 2009. After Schultz filed a motion to terminate the PPO, the trial court continued the order, but modified it to change the expiration date from February 2010 to August 2009. “As a general rule, an appellate court will not decide moot issues.”² “An issue is deemed moot when an event occurs that renders it impossible for a reviewing court to grant relief.”³

Here, remand for a new hearing would serve no purpose because the PPO has expired and there is no indication in the record, nor do the parties contend, that the order was extended before it expired.⁴ Further, nothing in the record indicates that issuance of the order will result in any serious collateral consequences.⁵ Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal as moot.

Appeal dismissed.

/s/ Kirsten Frank Kelly

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra

/s/ William C. Whitbeck

¹ MCR 7.214(E).

² *B P 7 v Bureau of State Lottery*, 231 Mich App 356, 359; 586 NW2d 117 (1998).

³ *Id.*

⁴ See MCR 3.707(B)(1).

⁵ See *Hayford v Hayford*, 279 Mich App 324, 325; 760 NW2d 503 (2008).