## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

OLIVIA BOITOS, as Next Friend of JORDYN BOITOS, a Minor,

UNPUBLISHED July 7, 2011

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v

DYNAMIC VISUAL PRODUCTIONS INC, SYNCOPATED INC, d/b/a BRAVO NATIONAL DANCE & TALENT COMPETITION, and CHAD EDWARDS.

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 297420 Oakland Circuit Court LC No. 08-094338-NO

Before: BORRELLO, P.J., and JANSEN and SAAD, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Plaintiff appeals as of right the trial court's order granting summary disposition in favor of defendants<sup>1</sup> pursuant to MCR 2.116(C)(10). The trial court granted defendants' motion for summary disposition after determining that defendants owed no duty to plaintiff in light of Fultz v Union-Commerce Assoc, 470 Mich 460; 683 NW2d 587 (2004), and its progeny. Since the trial court granted defendant's motion, our Supreme Court issued its opinion in Loweke v Ann Arbor Ceiling & Partition Co, LLC, \_\_\_ Mich \_\_\_; \_\_\_ NW2d \_\_\_ (2011) (Docket No. 141168, issued June 6, 2011), which clarifies its analysis in *Fultz*. Because neither the parties nor the trial court had the opportunity to consider our Supreme Court's decision in Loweke in arguing and deciding this matter, we vacate the trial court's order and remand for reconsideration in light of Loweke's clarification of Fultz. On remand, the trial court has broad discretion to require additional briefing, documentation or oral argument, as it deems necessary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The order granting defendants' motion for summary disposition was entered in favor of defendants Dynamic Visual Productions Inc. and Chad Edwards. Defendant Syncopated Inc., d/b/a Bravo National Dance & Talent Competition, was dismissed from the case by stipulation of the parties and is not a party to this appeal.

On appeal, the parties have raised arguments not related to the Fultz issue. In light of our decision to remand for reconsideration in light of Fultz, we do not address or decide those arguments.

Vacated and remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion. We do not retain jurisdiction. Neither party having prevailed in full, no costs are awarded under MCR 7.219.

/s/ Stephen L. Borrello

/s/ Kathleen Jansen

/s/ Henry William Saad