## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## COURT OF APPEALS

STEVEN CHRISTOPHER WITTEBORT,

Petitioner-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED July 28, 2011

v

LESLEY SUE STANLEY,

Respondent-Appellant.

No. 297739 Oakland Circuit Court LC No. 2010-769749-PH

Before: M. J. KELLY, P.J., and O'CONNELL and SERVITTO, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from a circuit court order denying her motion to terminate an ex parte personal protection order (PPO). We dismiss this appeal as moot.

Although respondent contends that the trial court erred in issuing the PPO, the PPO expired by its terms on March 18, 2011, and there is no indication that it was renewed.<sup>1</sup> "As a general rule, an appellate court will not decide moot issues." *B P 7 v Bureau of State Lottery*, 231 Mich App 356, 359; 586 NW2d 117 (1998). "An issue is deemed moot when an event occurs that renders it impossible for a reviewing court to grant relief." *Id*.

Because the PPO has expired by its own terms, there is nothing for this Court to dissolve. Thus, it is impossible for this Court to fashion a remedy. The issues presented by respondent are not of public significance, *City of Warren v Detroit*, 261 Mich App 165, 166 n 1; 680 NW2d 57 (2004), and respondent has not alleged any collateral consequences arising from the entry of the now-expired PPO to compel review by this Court. See, e.g., *Hayford v Hayford*, 279 Mich App 324, 325; 760 NW2d 503 (2008). Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal as moot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We also note that the trial court denied respondent's motion to terminate the PPO because respondent failed to appear at the motion hearing. She did not move for reconsideration.

Appeal dismissed.

/s/ Michael J. Kelly /s/ Peter D. O'Connell /s/ Deborah A. Servitto