STATE OF MINNESOTA

IN SUPREME COURT

A11-2288

•	••		-	•
Iп	1110	Δ	Ranii	'OO1n
J	ille	<i>1</i> 1.	Dou	goin,

Respondent,

VS.

The Gillette Company, Self-Insured/ESIS,

Relator.

Jason Schmickle, Aafedt, Forde, Gray, Monson & Hagar, P.A., Minneapolis, Minnesota, for relator.

Sean M. Quinn, Stephanie Balmer, Falsani, Balmer, Peterson, Quinn & Beyer, Duluth, Minnesota, for respondent.

Considered and decided by the court without oral argument.

ORDER

Based upon all the files, records, and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the decision of the Workers' Compensation Court of Appeals filed and served on November 23, 2011, be and the same is, affirmed without opinion. *See Hoff v. Kempton*, 317 N.W.2d 361, 366 (Minn. 1982) (explaining that "[s]ummary affirmances have no precedential value because they do not commit the

court to any particular point of view," doing no more than establishing the law of the case).

Dated: June 7, 2012

BY THE COURT:

/s/

Christopher J. Dietzen Associate Justice