## NOT FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION

This opinion shall not "constitute precedent or be binding upon any court." Although it is posted on the internet, this opinion is binding only on the parties in the case and its use in other cases is limited. R. 1:36-3.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY APPELLATE DIVISION DOCKET NO. A-2583-20

CAPITAL ONE, N.A.,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

v.

LEWIS WU and HYEYEON YUN,

Defendant-Appellants,

and

PARK PLACE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, ACE GAMING LLC, t/a THE SANDS, TRUMP TAJ MAHAL ASSOCIATES, f/k/a TRUMP TAJ MAHAL ASSOCIATES LP, t/a TRUMP TAJ MAHAL CASINO RESORT, LML SUPERMARKETS, INC., MARINA DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT CO., LLC, t/a BORGATA, ATLANTIC CITY SHOWBOAT, INC., a/k/a ATLANTIC CITY SHOWBOAT, d/b/a SHOWBOAT CASINO HOTEL, SUMMIT IMPORT CORPORATION, CELLULAR-ONE METROPHONE, FIRST TRENTON, as subrogee of IRENE KASBARIAN, ALBERT UJUETA, d/b/a BUSINESS EXCHANGE ENTERPRISES, and STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

## Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted August 2, 2022 – Decided August 22, 2022

Before Judges Sumners and Gummer.

On appeal from the Superior Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, Bergen County, Docket No. F-002400-11.

Lewis Wu and Hye Yeon Yun, appellants pro se.

Respondents have not filed a brief.

## PER CURIAM

In this residential foreclosure matter, defendants Hye Yeon Yun and Lewis Wu appeal without opposition from the Chancery Division's April 30, 2021 order denying their motion to set aside a September 6, 2019 sheriff's sale. We affirm.

For the sake of brevity, we incorporate by reference the facts and procedural history set forth at length in our two unpublished decisions involving the parties' dispute. In the first decision, we affirmed the trial court's May 1, 2018 order denying Wu's reconsideration motion to vacate the January 23, 2018

2

A-2583-20

Feb. 7, 2020) (slip op. at 2). In the second decision, we affirmed the March 19, 2019 order denying Wu's fourth motion to vacate the January 23, 2018 final judgment of foreclosure in the amount of \$4,083,644.65. Capital One v. Wu, No. A-4020-18 (App. Div. Nov. 19, 2020) (slip op. at 2).

In this appeal, defendants contend the April 30, 2021 order denying their motion to set aside a September 6, 2019 sheriff's sale should be reversed because the underlying foreclosure judgment was invalid due to improper service on Yun. In a nine-page rider attached to the April 30 order, Judge James J. Deluca explained in detail that defendants' motion was essentially a reconsideration motion disguised as a motion to vacate because it sought "to relitigate issues which ha[d] already been decided . . . in prior, identical motions." The judge pointed out that "throughout these proceedings, [defendants] failed to overcome [p]laintiff's prime facie right to foreclosure on the [p]roperty." In particular, the judge held "[d]efendants' contention that . . . Yun was improperly served is belied by the exhibits which [d]efendants have attached to their own [m]otion which clearly demonstrate that both [d]efendants, . . . . were properly served. The

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Wu's petition for certification was denied. <u>Capital One, N.A. v. Wu</u>, 247 N.J. 220 (2021).

instant [m]otion simply rehashes and reargues matters already decided." The

judge further stressed that defendants' answer and affirmative defenses had been

stricken, the property was sold by the successful bidder to a third-party

purchaser for value, and defendants knowingly failed to act on their right to

redeem the property.

Having considered defendants' contentions, we conclude that their

arguments are without sufficient merit to warrant discussion in a written

opinion. R. 2:11-3(e)(1)(E). We affirm substantially for the cogent reasons

expressed by Judge Deluca in his rider.

Affirmed.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in my office.

CLERK OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION