| 1 | |
|--------|---|
| | This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date. |
| 1 | IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO |
| 2 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO, |
| 3 | Plaintiff-Appellee, |
| 4 | v. NO. 34,604 |
| 5 | GREGORY A. WOOD, |
| 6 | Defendant-Appellant. |
| 7 8 | APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF OTERO COUNTY Waylon Counts, District Judge |
| | Hector H. Balderas, Attorney General Santa Fe, NM |
| 11 | for Appellee |
| | Gregory A. Wood Santa Rosa, NM |
| 14 | Pro Se Appellant |
| 15 | MEMORANDUM OPINION |
| 16 | VIGIL, Chief Judge. |
| 17 | Self-represented Defendant Gregory A. Wood filed a docketing statement, |
| 18 | appealing from his convictions for trafficking methamphetamine, possession of drug |
| | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | paraphernalia, and possession of marijuana or synthetic cannabinoids, as set forth in |
| 2 | the district court's judgment and sentence entered on January 9, 2015. [RP 128-29; DS |
| 3 | 2] In this Court's notice of proposed disposition, we proposed to dismiss the appeal |
| 4 | for lack of a final order. [CN 1, 3-4] Defendant filed a timely response to our calendar |
| 5 | notice, stating that he received "this final order from the district court," and attaching |
| 6 | such order. |
| 7 | However, although the order attached to Defendant's response does deny a |
| 8 | pending motion to change or remove plea, it did not address the motion for |
| 9 | reconsideration of sentence, specified in our calendar notice as rendering the judgment |
| 10 | non-final. [CN 3] Accordingly, as there remains no order disposing of the motion for |
| 11 | reconsideration of sentence, and for the reasons stated in this Court's notice of |
| 12 | proposed disposition, we dismiss the appeal for lack of a final order. We reiterate that |
| 13 | Defendant is free to appeal from the final order of the district court once such order |
| 14 | is entered. See Rule 12-201(A) NMRA. |
| 15 | {3} IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Chief Judge |
| 18 | WE CONCUR: |
| 19 | |
| | RODERICK T. KENNEDY, Judge |

|) | TIMOTHY L. GARCIA Judge | |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |