	This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.	
1	IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO,	
3	Plaintiff-Appellee,	
4	v. NO. A-1-CA-36541	
5	ROBERT E. JOHNSON,	
6	Defendant-Appellant.	
	APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF DOÑA ANA COUNTY Fernando R. Macias, District Judge	
	Hector H. Balderas, Attorney General Santa Fe, NM	
11	for Appellee	
13	Bennett J. Baur, Chief Public Defender Steven J. Forsberg, Assistant Public Defender Albuquerque, NM	
15	for Appellant	
16	MEMORANDUM OPINION	
17	VANZI, Chief Judge.	
18	Defendant Robert E. Johnson appeals his convictions for two counts of	
19	possession of a controlled substance and one count of possession of marijuana, all	

i			
1	contrary to NMSA 1978, Section 30-31-23(A) (2011), [RP 89-92] pursuant to a		
2	conditional plea [RP 81-87] that reserved the right to appeal the denial of his motion		
3	to suppress [RP 82]. In response to Defendant's docketing statement, we proposed to		
4	affirm. Defendant has filed a memorandum in opposition (MIO). After due		
5	consideration, we are unpersuaded and therefore affirm.		
6	Defendant has not persuaded us in his MIO that there was an error of law or fact		
7	in our proposed disposition. Accordingly, for the reasons stated in our notice of		
8	proposed summary disposition and above, we affirm. See Farmers, Inc. v. Dal Mach.		
9	& Fabricating, Inc., 1990-NMSC-100, ¶ 8, 111 N.M. 6, 800 P.2d 1063 (stating that		
10	our appellate courts presume that the trial court is correct and, accordingly, the burden		
11	is on the appellant to clearly demonstrate that the trial court erred); Hennessy v.		
12	Duryea, 1998-NMCA-036, ¶ 24, 124 N.M. 754, 955 P.2d 683 ("[I]n summary		
13	calendar cases, the burden is on the party opposing the proposed disposition to clearly		
14	point out errors in fact or law.").		
15	{3} IT IS SO ORDERED.		
16 17	LINDA M. VANZI, Chief Judge		
	WE CONCUR:		
10	WE CONCOR.		
19	I MILES HANISEE Indee		
20	J. MILES HANISEE, Judge		

JULIE J. VARGAS, Judge	