This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

- 2 L.P. MCKEE (MACK) WHITTENBURG, as
- 3 Independent Co-Executor of the Estate of
- 4 Roy R. Whittenburg; LOIS WHITTENBURG
- 5 **ROWLEY, as Independent Co-Executor of the**
- 6 Estate of Roy R. Whittenburg; ROY ROBERT
- 7 WHITTENBURG, JR., as Co-Trustee of Eighteen
- 8 of The Grace and Roy Whittenburg Trusts, Dated
- 9 December 30, 1977; LOIS WHITTENBURG
- 10 **ROWLEY, as Co-Trustee of Eighteen of The**
- 11 Grace and Roy Whittenburg Trusts, Dated
- 12 **December 30, 1977,**
- 13 Plaintiffs-Appellants,
- 14 v.

1

No. A-1-CA-36825

15 WANDA JEANNE WHITTENBURG,

16 Defendant-Appellee.

17 APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF UNION COUNTY 18 Jeff McElroy, District Judge

- 19 L. P. McKee (Mack) Whittenburg 20 Amarillo, TX
- 21 Pro Se Appellant
- 22 Lois Whittenburg Rowley
- 23 Amarillo, TX

1 Pro Se Appellant

2 Roy Robert Whittenburg, Jr.3 Amarillo, TX

4 Pro Se Appellant

5 Wheeler Trigg O'Donnell LLP6 Tamera Dietrich Westerberg7 Denver, CO

8 Hinkle Shanor LLP9 Stephen S. Shanor10 Roswell, NM

11 for Appellee

12

MEMORANDUM OPINION

13 **HANISEE**, Judge.

14 [1] Plaintiffs L.P. McKee (Mack) Whittenburg, Lois Whittenburg Rowley, and Roy
15 Robert Whittenburg, Jr., appeal an order of the district court finding them in contempt
16 for their failure to comply with a prior order. This Court's notice of proposed
17 summary disposition proposed to affirm the order of contempt. [CN 9] Defendant has
18 filed a memorandum in support of that proposed summary disposition. [MIS 1]
19 Plaintiffs, however, have made no responsive filing. Instead, Plaintiffs' counsel has
20 filed a motion to withdraw as counsel informing us that he has been "instructed to take
21 no further action" in this appeal. [4-20-2018 MOT 2] And, the time in which to file

1	a memorandum in opposition to our proposed disposition has now passed. We,
2	therefore, affirm the contempt order entered by the district court.
3	{2} IT IS SO ORDERED.
4 5	J. MILES HANISEE, Judge
6	WE CONCUR:
7 8	JULIE J. VARGAS, Judge
9 10	DANIEL J. GALLEGOS, Judge