This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions.
Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

6 IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

7 ORLANDO PINO,

Worker-Appellee,

9 v.

8

NO. 31,051

10 MGA HEALTHCARE STAFFING 11 and ESIS,

12 Employer/Insurer-Appellant.

13 APPEAL FROM THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADMINISTRATION 14 Victor S. Lopez, Workers' Compensation Judge

15 Orlando Pino16 Socorro, NM

17 Pro Se Appellee

18 Paul L. Civerolo, L.L.P.

- 19 Paul L. Civerolo
- 20 Albuquerque, NM

21 for Appellant

22

MEMORANDUM OPINION

23 VIGIL, Judge.

| I | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | Employer appeals a decision of the Workers' Compensation Judge (WCJ) |
| 2 | granting Worker's objection to its notice to change healthcare provider. In our notice, |
| 3 | we proposed to affirm on the basis that there was sufficient evidence to support the |
| 4 | decision of the WCJ. Employer has responded that it will not oppose our decision to |
| 5 | affirm based on substantial evidence. However, it asserts that the decision of the WCJ |
| 6 | was manifestly unjust. As we pointed out in our notice, it is for the fact finder, the |
| 7 | WCJ here, to determine the credibility of the witnesses and to decide where the truth |
| 8 | lies. We review simply for sufficiency of the evidence to support the decision. |
| 9 | For the reasons stated in the notice of proposed disposition, we affirm. |
| 10 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Judge |
| 13 | WE CONCUR: |
| 14 | |
| 15 | CYNTHIA A. FRY, Judge |
| | |
| 16 17 | RODERICK T. KENNEDY, Judge |
| - | |
| | |
| | 2 |