

=====
This memorandum is uncorrected and subject to revision before
publication in the New York Reports.

No. 176 SSM 21
Bernadette Gotay,
Respondent,
v.
David Breitbart, et al.,
Defendants,
Michael Handwerker, et al.,
Appellants.

Submitted by A. Michael Furman, for appellant
Michael Handwerker.
Submitted by Patrick J. Lawless, for appellants
Handwerker Honschke and Marchelos (a
partnership), et al.
Submitted by Gerald J. Mondora, for respondent.

* * * * *

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules,
order reversed, with costs, motions for summary judgment by
defendants-appellants granted and certified question answered in
the negative. Plaintiff's legal malpractice claim was not
brought within the applicable statute of limitations period, and
defendants-appellants established as a matter of law that the
continuous representation doctrine does not apply.
Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.
Chief Judge Lippman took no part.

Decided June 25, 2009