This memorandum is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the New York Reports. No. 80 SSM 28 The People &c., Respondent, V. Michael Edwards, Appellant.

> Submitted by Grazina Myers, for appellant. Submitted by Roseann B. MacKechnie, for respondent.

## Memorandum:

The order of the Appellate Division should be affirmed. Whether a particular request for counsel "is or is not unequivocal is a mixed question of law and fact," which, if supported by record evidence, is beyond further review by this Court (<u>People v Glover</u>, 87 NY2d 838, 839 [1995]). Such record evidence exists here.

A missing witness charge is warranted for a party's failure to produce a witness, under its control, where his or her testimony would have been material and non-cumulative of other testimony or evidence (<u>see People v Macana</u>, 84 NY2d 173, 177 [1994]; <u>People v Gonzalez</u>, 68 NY2d 424, 427 [1986]). "The party seeking the missing witness charge must sustain an initial burden of showing that the opposing party has failed to call a witness who could be expected to have knowledge regarding a material issue in the case and to provide testimony favorable to the opposing party" (<u>Macana</u>, 84 NY2d at 177). Here, defendant argued that the uncalled witness could have either contradicted or corroborated the complaining witness, but did not demonstrate that her testimony would have been non-cumulative or expected to be favorable to the People.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order affirmed, in a memorandum. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.

Decided February 11, 2010