Liss v Sage Sys., Inc.

2009 NY Slip Op 33479(U)

February 10, 2009

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 100205/2006

Judge: Debra A. James

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/29/2019 05:52 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 33

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 06/27/2010

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1-2

INDEX NO. 650745/2010
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/29/2019

INDEX NO. 650745/2010

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/27/2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK - NEW YORK COUNTY

ROBE	ERT LISS,	•	Index No.: 100205/2006
I(OD)		District of	Motion Date:07/29/08
		Plaintiff,	Motion Seq. No.: 001
	- V -		
SAGE	E SYSTEMS, INC.,	Defendant.	Motion Cal. No.:
		berendane.	_ ,
dismis	ollowing papers, numbered 1 to 4 ssing the complaint that seeks dis	solution and appointment	
Ansv	vering Affidavits - Exhibits		
Cros	s-Motion: ☐ Yes ☒	No.	
	s-Motion: ☐ Yes ☒ the foregoing papers,	NOW WAR 3 200 WENT OF	A OFFICE
	the foregoing papers,	WENT TO WENT OF	efendant Sage Systems,
Upon	the foregoing papers,	the motion of de	efendant Sage Systems,
Upon Inc.	the foregoing papers, The court shall GRANT	the motion of de	efendant Sage Systems,
Upon Inc.	the foregoing papers, The court shall GRANT for summary judgment	the motion of de	efendant Sage Systems, omplaint pursuant to
Upon Inc. CPLR	the foregoing papers, The court shall GRANT for summary judgment of 3212.	the motion of dedismissing the conditions of the condition of the conditio	efendant Sage Systems, omplaint pursuant to owns the shares of
Upon Inc. CPLR	the foregoing papers, The court shall GRANT for summary judgment of 3212. S-L Properties, a general	the motion of dedismissing the condition of dedismissing the condi	efendant Sage Systems, omplaint pursuant to owns the shares of commercial

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 33

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/29/2019

INDEX NO. 650745/2010

plaintiff and defendant entered into a written partnership agreement of S-L Properties, which was amended on January 1, 1985. By its terms, the written agreement provides that the partnership should 'continue until December 31, 2024, unless sooner terminated pursuant to the provisions hereof." With respect to dissolution, the agreement provides, in pertinent part, that "The Partnership shall be dissolved and its business wound up upon the happening of any of the following events, whichever shall first occur: *** (d) when required by law."

New York Partnership Law § 63 states, in pertinent part, that "The court shall decree a dissolution. On application by or for a partner whenever: (c) A partner has been guilty of such conduct as tends to affect prejudicially the carrying on of the business," (d) A partner wilfully or persistently commits a breach of the partnership agreement, or otherwise so conducts himself in matters relating to the partnership business that is not reasonably practicable to carry on the business in partnership with him".

Plaintiff seeks a dissolution of the partnership and an appointment of a receiver based on the claims that (1) sometime in the year of 2004 and 2005, defendant sublet 90% of that portion of its portion of the Unit without the consent of the cooperative corporation, and that such sublet is in violation of the Proprietary Lease and Rider; and (2) that defendant has failed FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/29/2019 05:52 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 33

INDEX NO. 650745/2010

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/29/2019

to comply with lighting requirements of the NYC Building Code in the lobby area of the Unit and refused to correct same.

entitling it to the dismissal of the plaintiff's complaint in that neither the Proprietary Lease nor any document referenced thereunder contains any condition with respect to subletting that requires one or more of the partners to remain in occupancy of at least 51% of the Unit. It argues that the statement in the Letter dated February 21, 1984, which is signed only by the then president of the cooperative corporation, does not constitute such "condition".

The court concurs with the defendant. The Letter, which forms the gravamen of plaintiff's complaint, does not constitute a condition of subletting because it was not "duly authorized by a resolution of the Directors, or given in writing by a majority of the Directors or by lessees owning 66 2/3 % of the issued shares of the cooperative corporation," as provided under the "Subletting" provisions of the Proprietary Lease Rider. The absence of any board action with respect to this "condition", though plaintiff has persisted in inviting the board to do so, is further evidence that no such condition has been duly authorized or given.

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 33

INDEX NO. 650745/2010

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/29/2019

Moreover, plaintiff has unclean hands with respect to his demand for the equitable relief of dissolution. Plaintiff testified at an examination before trial on August 29, 2007 that the sublease agreements, under which he sublet 90% of his portion of the Unit, are each for less than one year in duration, and that the board does "not consider [any such agreement] a real Therefore, even assuming arguendo the existence of a 51% provision in the Proprietary Lease, it would be plaintiff, who would be persisting in placing the partnership in violation of such Proprietary Lease provision. Such is also a concession by plaintiff that no more than 51% of the Unit is sublet, since his subleases "are not real".

Nor does plaintiff come forward with any evidence of any prejudice or lack of reasonable practicability of carrying out the partnership's business that the sublets pose or that defendant has placed the Partnership in violation of any local or state building codes.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the motion of defendant SAGE SYSTEMS, INC. for summary judgment dismissing the Complaint, as a matter of law, is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that Clerk shall enter judgment in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff DISMISSING the complaint, and calculating statutory costs and disbursements to FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/29/2019 05:52 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 33

INDEX NO. 650745/2010

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/29/2019

be awarded to defendant SAGE SYSTEMS, INC. and assessed against plaintiff.

This is the decision and order of the court.

Dated: February 10, 2009

ENTER:

HON, DEBRA A. JAMES J.S.C.

MAR - 3 2009

NEW YORK
NEW YORK OFFICE
NEW YORK OFFICE