| 1286 RR Operating, Inc. v Herald Towers, LLC | | |
|---|--|--|
| 2011 NY Slip Op 32552(U) | | |
| September 23, 2011 | | |
| Supreme Court, New York County | | |
| Docket Number: 109979/11 | | |
| Judge: Lucy Billings | | |
| Republished from New York State Unified Court System's E-Courts Service. | | |
| Search E-Courts (http://www.nycourts.gov/ecourts) for any additional information on this case. | | |
| This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication. | | |

| D ON 9/28/2011 | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO LUCY DILLINGS | |
| | PRESENT: | PART <u>46</u> |
| | Justice | |
| | 1286 RR OPERATing, Inc. | INDEX NO. <u>1699797</u> // |
| | | |
| | - V - | MOTION SEQ. NO. |
| | Hendo Towers, LLC | MOTION CAL. NO. |
| | The following papers, numbered 1 to <u>3</u> were read on th | is motion to/for a prelowing inpution |
| | | PAPERS NUMBERED |
| | Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibit | |
| ä | Answering Affidavits Exhibits | |
| S)N(S | Replying Affidavits | SEP 27 2011 |
| EAS(| Cross-Motion: 🗌 Yes 🗹 No | |
| 3 HE | | |
| U | Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this motion : | NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| WING | Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this motion : The wave growns plauntiff's motion for a | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| JUSTICE LLOWING | The work grows plaintiff's motion for a and on the worditions ser forth, pursuant to | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| TO JUSTICE E FOLLOWING REASON(S): | Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this motion: The wave growns plaintiff's motion for a and on the wordstrong ser forth, purguant to \$\$ 6301, 6311 (1), 6312(a) and (b). | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| Ēш | The work grows plaintiff's motion for a and on the worditions ser forth, pursuant to | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| Ēш | The work grows plaintiff's motion for a and on the worditions ser forth, pursuant to | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| Ēш | The work grows plaintiff's motion for a and on the worditions ser forth, pursuant to | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| Ēш | The work grows plaintiff's motion for a and on the worditions ser forth, pursuant to | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| Ēш | The work grows plaintiff's motion for a and on the worditions ser forth, pursuant to | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| Ēш | The work grows plaintiff's motion for a and on the worditions ser forth, pursuant to | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| Ēш | The work grows plaintiff's motion for a and on the worditions ser forth, pursuant to | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE |
| Ēш | The work grows plaintiff's motion for a and on the worditions ser forth, pursuant to | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE pretomenany mountaion to the Extent the accompanying decision. C.P.L. |
| Ēш | Dated: 912311 | -My Millings |
| Ēш | The court grams planntiff's motion for a and on the conditions set forth, pursuant to 55 (301, 6311(1), 6312(4)) and (b). Dated: $9[23]n$ | My Millings J.s.c. |
| Ēш | The court grows planntiff's motion for a and on the conductions ser forth, pursuant to \$\$ 6301, 6341 (1), 6312(2) and (20). Dated: 9/23/n Check one: FINAL DISPOSITION | COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE pretaining input them to five texten the accompanying decision. C. P.L.J MGY BILLINGS J.S.C. J.S.C. NON-FINAL DISPOSITION |
| | The court grams planntiff's motion for a and on the conditions set forth, pursuant to 55 (301, 6311(1), 6312(4)) and (b). Dated: $9[23]n$ | My Millings J.s.c. |

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: PART 46

1286 RR OPERATING, INC.,

Plaintiff

- against -

HERALD TOWERS, LLC,

[* 2]

Defendant

Index No. 109979/2011

FILED

-----x

LUCY BILLINGS, J.S.C.:

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 27 2011

The court converts the temporary restraining order dated August 30, 2011, in part, to a preliminary injunction pending the determination of this action or until a further order. C.P.L.R. SS 6301, 6311(1), 6312(a); Second on Second Cafe, Inc. v. Hing Sing Trading, Inc., 66 A.D.3d 255, 271-72 (1st Dep't 2009); OraSure Tech., Inc. v. Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc., 40 A.D.3d 413, 414 (1st Dep't 2007); FTI Consulting, Inc. v. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, 8 A.D.3d 145, 146 (1st Dep't 2004); Putter v. Singer, 73 A.D.3d 1147, 1149 (2d Dep't 2010). The preliminary injunction shall prohibit defendant, its managers, members, employees, servants, agents, attorneys, affiliates, and partners, and all other persons acting on behalf of or in concert with defendant from:

(1) shutting down the duct work, exhaust stack, or cooking ventilation system servicing the premises previously leased to plaintiff at 1286 Broadway, New York County, or

(2) removing plaintiff's exterior signage or sidewalk canopy

1286rr.135

1

at the premises.

[* 3]

The preliminary injunction shall expire if by October 7, 2011, at 5:00 p.m., plaintiff has failed to provide an undertaking or other security of \$150,000 in favor of defendant. This amount reflects a potential loss to defendant, from the injunction, of eight months' rent at a market rate above the rate plaintiff is paying, accounting for time to re-rent and defendant's preserved claim for plaintiff's continued use and occupancy at market rates in the summary eviction proceeding that defendant has commenced against plaintiff. C.P.L.R. § 6312(b). The injunction is further conditioned on plaintiff's payment for use and occupancy at the rate and times specified in the parties' lease that expired June 30, 2011.

Plaintiff has shown that defendant has threatened the measures specified above immediately, which would pose a danger of irreparable injury to the health and safety of plaintiff's employees and customers and building occupants; halt plaintiff's business; indicate it is closed; and cause irreparable loss of good will and injury to plaintiff's relationships with its franchiser and customers. On the other hand, plaintiff has not shown that defendant has threatened or is about to engage in any other extra-judicial conduct at the premises affecting plaintiff's occupancy there. The more limited injunction is enough to protect against any immediate and irreparable injury to plaintiff or to other persons' health and safety. C.P.L.R. §§ 6301, 6312(a); <u>Waldbaum, Inc. v. Fifth Ave. of Long Is. Realty</u>

1286rr.135

2

<u>Aşsocs.</u>, 85 N.Y.2d 600, 607 (1995); <u>Second on Second Cafe, Inc.</u> <u>v. Hing Sing Trading, Inc.</u>, 66 A.D.3d at 271-72; <u>OraSure Tech.</u>, <u>Inc. v. Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc.</u>, 40 A.D.3d at 414; <u>FTI</u> <u>Consulting, Inc. v. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP</u>, 8 A.D.3d at 146. <u>See Putter v. Singer</u>, 73 A.D.3d at 1149. Therefore the court lifts the temporary injunction, as an unnecessary restraint, against other conduct.

Plaintiff also has shown an entitlement to defend its claimed right to continued possession of the premises in the summary eviction proceeding that defendant notified plaintiff defendant would commence and now has commenced, without resort to self-help measures, posing a danger to health and safety, to achieve the eviction. Defendant has not demonstrated that the limited injunction will impose undue hardship, <u>Waldbaum, Inc. v.</u> Fifth Ave. of Long Is, Realty Assocs., 85 N.Y.2d at 607; Second on Second Cafe, Inc. v. Hing Sing Trading, Inc., 66 A.D.3d at 273; drastically upset the status quo, Putter v. Singer, 73 A.D.3d at 1149; or materially interfere with defendant's pursuit of its claim for possession of the premises in the summary eviction proceeding where defendant has elected to pursue its claim, including recovery for plaintiff's continued use and occupancy at market rate. Waldbaum, Inc. v. Fifth Ave. of Long Is. Realty Assocs., 85 N.Y.2d at 607; Second on Second Cafe, Inc. v. Hing Sing Trading, Inc., 66 A.D.3d at 273. Defendant has made no recent complaints regarding odors from plaintiff's use of the duct work, exhaust stack, or cooking ventilation system servicing

1286rr.135

[* 4]

3

the premises or regarding other danger or nuisance from that use or from plaintiff's exterior signage or sidewalk canopy at the premises.

Consequently, the court grants plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction to the extent set forth above. C.P.L.R. §§ 6301, 6311(1), 6312(a). This decision constitutes the court's order. The court will provide copies to the parties' attorneys.

DATED: September 23, 2011

[* 5]

SEP 27 2011

FILED

JEW YORK LUCY BILLINGS, OUN BY QLERKS OFFICE

LUCY BILLING 1.8.

1286rr.135

5.