American Bldg.	Supply Corp.	v Petrocelli	Group, Inc.

2013 NY Slip Op 32474(U)

October 9, 2013

Supreme Court, New York County Docket Number: 601562/2008

Judge: Eileen A. Rakower

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op <u>30001(</u>U), are republished from various state and local government websites. These include the New York State Unified Court System's E-Courts Service, and the Bronx County Clerk's office.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

SCANNED ON 10/16/2013

MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE

PRESENT:		PART <u>/</u> 5
• ·	Justice	
Index Number : 601562/2008		INDEX NO
AMERICAN BUILDING SUPPLY		MOTION DATE
vs PETROCELLI GROUP		MOTION SEQ. NO.
Sequence Number : 004		
PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT The following papers, numbered in to, were read on		
Notice of Motion/Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exh		
Answering Affidavits — Exhibits		No(s). 3,4
Replying Affidavits	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No(s). 5,6
Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this motion	on is	
F	LED	
c	CT 16 2013	
4	EWYORK	
	CLERK'S OFFICE	
	CCORDANCE WITH	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ACCORDAN	THE DECISION / ONDE	R
		6
/ .	Construction of the second sec	<u>N</u>
Dated: 10/9/13		NA RAKOWER . J.
ματικά το το μεταγραφικό το μεταγραφικό το	HON. EILEE	NA. KANDING
ECK ONE:	SE DISPOSED	NON-FINAL DISPÓSITI
		GRANTED IN PART OTH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: PART 15

-----X AMERICAN BUILDING SUPPLY CORP.,

Plaintiff,

- against -

PETROCELLI GROUP, INC. and POLLAK ASSOCIATES,

HON. EILEEN A. RAKOWER

NEW YORK

OCT 16 2013

Defendants FILE D

On May 21, 2008, plaintiff American Building Supply Corp. ("American Building") commenced this action against defendant Petrocelli Group, Inc. ("Petrocelli"), American Building's broker, and Pollak Associates ("Pollak")¹ for negligence and breach of contract in connection with their procuring of general

Index No. 601562/08

DECISION and ORDER

Mot. Seq. 004

¹ The action against Pollak was discontinued.

liability insurance on behalf of American Building and their additional insureds.

[* 3]

On or about August 17, 2009, a Note of Issue was filed in this action. By a motion dated November 3, 2009, Petrocelli served and filed a motion for summary judgment. By Order dated March 19, 2010, Petrocelli's motion for summary judgment was denied. On appeal, the Appellate Division, First Department reversed the Court's Order and dismissed the Complaint on the grounds that there was a presumption that a policy holder read and understood the insurance policy. After leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals was granted, the Court of Appeals reversed the Appellate Division, First Department, and reinstated the Complaint by Order dated November 19, 2012. Petrocelli then filed a motion to reargue, which was denied by the Court on Appeals on February 14, 2013. In accordance with the Court of Appeals' decision, the matter was then remanded back to this Court for further proceedings consistent with its decision.

During the pendency of Plaintiff's appeal to the Court of Appeals in connection with the First Department's reversal, a decision was issued on August 28, 2012 by Judge Betty Owen Stinson in the Underlying Lucero Action, which granted American Building's motion for summary judgment and dismissed American Building from the action.

Petrocelli now moves for an Order granting Petrocelli leave to file a late motion for partial summary judgment on the issue of damages upon good cause and for an Order granting Petrocelli summary judgment. Petrocelli seeks an Order limiting American Building's recovery in the instant matter to the reasonable attorneys' fees and costs expended by American Building in defending itself in connection with the Underlying Lucero Action.

American Building opposes Petrocelli's motion and cross moves for an Order "amending the caption to add DRK, LLC, and New York Industrial Development Agency" as plaintiffs. In the proposed Amended Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to add DRK and NYCIDA as named plaintiffs and to assert a negligence cause of action against Petrocelli on behalf of DRK and NYCIDA, entities which American Building claims were to be additional insureds on American Building's insurance policy. American Building alleges that had Petrocelli obtained proper insurance from Burlington Insurance Company, Burlington Insurance Company would have had the burden of defending American Building as well as DRK and NYCIDA. CPLR §3212 prohibits the filing of summary judgment more than 120 days after the note of issue is filed except with leave of court "on good cause shown." Successive motions for summary judgment are not permitted in the absence of showing newly discovered evidence or other sufficient cause. *Marine Midland Bank v. Fisher*, 85 A.D. 2d 905, 906 [4th Dept. 1981]. Here, Petrocelli previously filed a motion for summary judgment and could have made the arguments that it seeks to make now - i.e. Petrocelli could have moved for partial summary judgment seeking to cap its damages as to the damages sustained by Plaintiff in the Underlying Action, but failed to do so.

Pursuant to CPLR §3025(b), "A party may amend his or her pleading, or supplement it by setting forth additional or subsequent transactions or occurrences at any time at any time by leave of court.... Leave shall be freely given upon such terms as may be just...." "CPLR §3025 allows liberal amendment of pleadings absent demonstrable prejudice." (*Atlantic Mut. Ins. Co. v. Greater New York Mut. Ins. Co.*, 271 A.D.2d 278, 280 [1st Dept. 2000]). Notwithstanding the absence of prejudice, leave to amend a pleading must be denied where the proposed amendment is plainly lacking in merit. (*see Bd. of Managers of Gramercy Park Habitat Condo. v. Zucker*, 190 A.D.2d 636 [1st Dept. 1993]). Here, American Building is now seeking to add DRK and NYCIDA as additional plaintiffs almost five years after commencing this litigation. Petrocelli would be prejudiced if American Building was permitted to amend its Complaint at such a late juncture even if it was within the applicable statute of limitations, after American Building has already filed its note of issue certifying that all discovery has been completed.

Wherefore it is hereby

[* 4]

ORDERED that defendant Petrocelli Group, Inc.'s motion for leave to file a late motion for partial summary judgment is denied; and it is further

ORDERED that plaintiff American Building Supply Corp.'s cross motion to amend is denied.

This constitutes the decision and order of the Court. All other relief requested is denied.

DATED: OCTOBER 9, 2013

EILEEN A. RAKOWER, J.S.C.

. 942 W

FILED

[* 5]

.

OCT 16 2013

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE