Matos v Chefitz
2014 NY Slip Op 32713(U)
January 31, 2014
Sup Ct, Bronx County
Docket Number: 0300632/2011
Judge: Douglas E. McKeon
Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op <u>30001(U)</u> , are republished from various state and local government websites. These include the New York State Unified Court System's E-Courts Service, and the Bronx County Clerk's office.
This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

[* 1] FILED Feb 11 2014 Bronx County OF BRONX

PART 19A	Case Disposed
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF BRONX:	Settle Order
	ndex №. 0300632/2011
-against- Hon	DOUGLAS MCKEON,
CHEFITZ,ALLEN M.D.,	Justice.
The following papers numbered 1 to Read on this motion, <u>SUN</u> Noticed on <u>August 17 2012</u> and duly submitted as No on the N	Motion Calendar of
loticed on <u>August 17 2012</u> and duly submitted as No on the N	
······	PAPERS NUMBERED
Notice of Motion - Order to Show Cause - Exhibits and Affidavits Annexe	ed
Answering Affidavit and Exhibits	
Replying Affidavit and Exhibits	
Affidavits and Exhibits	
Pleadings - Exhibit	
Stipulation(s) - Referee's Report - Minutes	
Filed Papers	

So ordered.

FEB 1 1 2014

Motion is Respectfully Referred to: Justice: Dated: , 31 , 14 Dated: 📕

Hon.

DOUGLAS MCKEON, J.S.C.

[* 2] FILED Feb 11 2014 Bronx County Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF BRONX - PART IA-19A

VICKI MATOS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

ALLEN CHEFITZ, M.D., and MONTEFIORE MEDICAL CENTER,

Defendant(s)

DECISION/ORDER

INDEX NO: 300632/11

HON. DOUGLAS E. MCKEON

Motion by defendant Montefiore Medical Center ("MMC") for summary judgment and an order deleting it from the caption is decided as follows.

-X-

This is a medical malpractice action stemming from the surgical repair of an abdominal incisional hernia with mesh, along with compartment separation and reconstruction. The repair was performed at MMC by the co-defendant Private Surgeon, Dr. Chefitz. The plaintiff subsequently developed a perforation of her transverse colon which movant argues was a known and accepted complication associated with this surgery. It resulted in post-operative complications and necessitated additional surgical repair. The Court notes that Dr. Chefitz was previously granted summary judgment without any opposition from plaintiff.

In support of the motion, movant has provided the Court with the expert affidavit of Dr. Jerald Wishner. Movant argues that plaintiff was admitted as a

1

private patient of co-defendant surgeon, was followed by Dr. Chefitz throughout the admission and treated by him during all dates of negligence alleged. Furthermore, movant argues that because there is no evidence that the staff at MMC exercised any independent medical judgment or that Dr. Chefitz's treatment plan for the patient was contraindicated so that MMC staff should have intervened, MMC is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. In opposition, plaintiff has provided the Court with the affidavit of Jeffrey S. Freed, M.D., a Board Certified General Surgeon, and with the affidavit of Anne Heuser R.N. The Court finds that neither plaintiff's counsel's supporting affirmation nor the experts' affirmations rebut MMC's showing of entitlement to judgment.

Plaintiff relies on the affirmation of former co-defendant, Dr. Chefitz, which was provided for the first time as an exhibit to plaintiff's opposition papers, six months after the completion of discovery. Plaintiff uses this self serving affirmation of Dr. Chefitz to inform the Court that the doctor was not contacted by anyone from MMC between the time he left the facility at approximately 8:00 a.m. on December 10, 2008 until he returned at approximately 2:30 p.m. on December 11th. This is an attempt to raise a question of fact as to whether MMC should have notified Dr. Chefitz about plaintiff's worsening condition. The Court rejects this attempt to distract the Court from the clear facts admitted by Dr. Chefitz under oath during his deposition testimony: that Ms. Matos was a private patient of his, and that he followed her post operatively and was aware of her condition throughout the post

2

operative period. See Exhibit G to MMC's motion at Page 147 and Page 44. Dr. Chefitz also testified at Page 147 of his deposition that his orders with respect to the plaintiff were carried out as he intended throughout the hospitalization. Additionally, the Court notes that plaintiff failed to oppose Dr. Chefitz's own motion for summary iudgment thereby conceding the arguments raised therein, including the arguments asserted by Dr. Herron, co-defendant's expert. Dr. Herron's affidavit asserts the same arguments as those offered by Dr. Wishner herein. Both doctors opine that the CT findings taken in conjunction with the patient's hospital course up until the initial CT-scan of December 11th were consistent with a possible development of an abdominal abscess and that it was appropriate to await a second CT-scan to further evaluate the abdomen. Dr. Herron opined that as of the morning of December 11th the initial CT findings coupled with the other clinical and laboratory findings indicated a possible abdominal abscess which would not have been treated surgically anyway. Furthermore, both Dr. Wishner and Dr. Herron contend that plaintiff's claim that there was a failure to appreciate signs of peritonitis is unfounded. Finally, both doctors opine that any delay in effectuating the second surgical procedure had no cause or connection to plaintiff's alleged injury and subsequent hospital course.

The Court finds that plaintiff has failed to raise a triable issue of material fact sufficient to rebut MMC's showing of entitlement to judgment as a matter of law. As such, MMC's motion is granted.

3

So ordered.

Dated: 1/3,/14

Douglas E. McKeon, J.S.C.