•		4			
•	lΔn	tura	V M	lartu	107
- 1		LUI a	V 10	аны	ICL

2018 NY Slip Op 30020(U)

January 8, 2018

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 151387/2015

Judge: Paul A. Goetz

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

AND MACHIONICASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TRANSPICE

INDEX NO. 151387/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/10/2018

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. PAUL A. GOETZ  J.S.C.  Justice	PART
VENTURA, MILDRED	INDEX NO. <u>  5   387   2</u> MOTION DATE
MARTINEZ, ABEL A.	MOTION SEQ. NO.
The following papers, numbered 1 to, were read on this motion to/for	
Notice of Motion/Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits	
Answering Affidavits — Exhibits	
Replying Affidavits	No(s).
Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this motion is	
judgment pursuant to CPLR 3212 on the grounds that the injuries allege Mildred Ventura as a result of the January 26, 2014, motor vehicle acciding injury thresholds as defined by Insurance Law § 5102 (d) is decided as f	dent fail to establish serious follows:
Plaintiff's bill of particulars alleges she sustained injuries to cer knee. Plaintiff avers that her injuries meet the following Insurance Law	
disfigurement; a fracture; permanent consequential limitation of use; sig 90/180-day.	
	I Plaintiff on February 22, 2016, pine, and conducted other
90/180-day.  Defendants' neurologist, Dr. Naunihal Sachdev Singh examined and found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spobjective tests that were negative/normal. Dr Singh concludes that Pla	I Plaintiff on February 22, 2016, pine, and conducted other intiff's alleged injuries to her and right knee and conducted that Plaintiff's alleged injuries to
Defendants' neurologist, Dr. Naunihal Sachdev Singh examined and found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spobjective tests that were negative/normal. Dr Singh concludes that Placervical and lumbar spine are resolved.  Defendants' orthopedist, Dr. Arnold T. Berman, examined Plair found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spine other objective tests that were negative/normal. Dr. Berman concludes the cervical and lumbar spine and right knee are all resolved with no resolved many plainting and resolved with no resolved plainting and resolved with no resolved plainting and resolved many plaint	I Plaintiff on February 22, 2016, pine, and conducted other intiff's alleged injuries to her and right knee and conducted that Plaintiff's alleged injuries to siduals.  's of Plaintiff's cervical and erning Plaintiff's cervical spine
Defendants' neurologist, Dr. Naunihal Sachdev Singh examined and found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spobjective tests that were negative/normal. Dr Singh concludes that Placervical and lumbar spine are resolved.  Defendants' orthopedist, Dr. Arnold T. Berman, examined Plair found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spine other objective tests that were negative/normal. Dr. Berman concludes the cervical and lumbar spine and right knee are all resolved with no resolved plaintiff's radiologist, Dr. Audrey Eisenstadt, reviewed MRI's lumbar spine (both taken on February 19, 2014) on July 1, 2016. Conce	I Plaintiff on February 22, 2016, pine, and conducted other intiff's alleged injuries to her and right knee and conducted that Plaintiff's alleged injuries to siduals.  's of Plaintiff's cervical and erning Plaintiff's cervical spine
Defendants' neurologist, Dr. Naunihal Sachdev Singh examined and found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spobjective tests that were negative/normal. Dr Singh concludes that Placervical and lumbar spine are resolved.  Defendants' orthopedist, Dr. Arnold T. Berman, examined Plair found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spine other objective tests that were negative/normal. Dr. Berman concludes the cervical and lumbar spine and right knee are all resolved with no resolved many plainting processes.  Defendants' radiologist, Dr. Audrey Eisenstadt, reviewed MRI lumbar spine (both taken on February 19, 2014) on July 1, 2016. Conce Dr. Eisenstadt found an incidental hemangioma at C5 (an accumulation Dated: JAN 0 8 2018	I Plaintiff on February 22, 2016, pine, and conducted other intiff's alleged injuries to her notiff on March 10, 2016, and and right knee and conducted that Plaintiff's alleged injuries to siduals.  I's of Plaintiff's cervical and erning Plaintiff's cervical spine of blood vessels with no
Defendants' neurologist, Dr. Naunihal Sachdev Singh examined and found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spobjective tests that were negative/normal. Dr Singh concludes that Placervical and lumbar spine are resolved.  Defendants' orthopedist, Dr. Arnold T. Berman, examined Plair found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spine other objective tests that were negative/normal. Dr. Berman concludes the cervical and lumbar spine and right knee are all resolved with no resolved and lumbar spine (both taken on February 19, 2014) on July 1, 2016. Conce Dr. Eisenstadt found an incidental hemangioma at C5 (an accumulation Dated: JAN 0 8 2018	Plaintiff on February 22, 2016, pine, and conducted other intiff's alleged injuries to her notiff on March 10, 2016, and and right knee and conducted that Plaintiff's alleged injuries to siduals.  Sof Plaintiff's cervical and erning Plaintiff's cervical spine of blood vessels with no
Defendants' neurologist, Dr. Naunihal Sachdev Singh examined and found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spobjective tests that were negative/normal. Dr Singh concludes that Placervical and lumbar spine are resolved.  Defendants' orthopedist, Dr. Arnold T. Berman, examined Plair found normal ranges of motion for Plaintiff's cervical and lumbar spine other objective tests that were negative/normal. Dr. Berman concludes the cervical and lumbar spine and right knee are all resolved with no resolved many plainting processes.  Defendants' radiologist, Dr. Audrey Eisenstadt, reviewed MRI lumbar spine (both taken on February 19, 2014) on July 1, 2016. Conce Dr. Eisenstadt found an incidental hemangioma at C5 (an accumulation Dated: JAN 0 8 2018	Plaintiff on February 22, 2016, pine, and conducted other intiff's alleged injuries to her notiff on March 10, 2016, and and right knee and conducted that Plaintiff's alleged injuries to siduals.  Sof Plaintiff's cervical and erning Plaintiff's cervical spine of blood vessels with no

AND MOTIONICASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/10/2018

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

	Justice	_	
		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		INDEX NO.	
	-V-	MOTION DATE	
		MOTION SEQ. NO.	
The following papers	, numbered 1 to, were read on this motion	ı to/for	·
Notice of Motion/Ord	er to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits	No(s)	
Answering Affidavits	. — Exhibits	No(s)	
Replying Affidavits _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No(s)	
Upon the foregoing	papers, it is ordered that this motion is		
posttraumatic cha changes and no e indicate a recent	sease and no disc herniations or annular tear- anges. Regarding Plaintiff's lumbar spine Dr vidence of an osseous contusion or fracture, traumatic injury or one causally related to the ats' submissions fail to eliminate triable issue	Or. Eisenstadt found early degenerative, disc herniation or an annular tear to he accident.	,
serious injuries to injuries to her cer to her cervical an Plaintiff's cervica Doulalas, 153 AI Pioneer Transp. 6	her cervical and lumbar spine. Dr. Singh at	and Dr. Berman conclude that Plaintiff's Eisenstadt concludes that Plaintiff's injuries in. These contradictory findings concerning act for the jury to resolve ( <i>Karounos v</i> 130 AD3d 502 [1st Dept 2015]; <i>Martinez v</i> herefore, the burden does not shift to	
Plaintiff was med for the requisite p particulars allege approximately eig	lintiff's 90/180-day claim, Defendants merely lically prevented from performing substantial period without providing any proof that she was she was confined to her bed for approximate the months and Defendants provide no evident their burden as to Plaintiff's 90/180-day claim.	was able to do so. Plaintiff's bill of ately three months and her home for ence to the contrary. Therefore, Defendants	
Dated: JAN 0	8 2018 2 5/4		_, J.S.
	CASE DISDO	OSED NON-FINAL DISPO	
CK ONE:	CASE DISPO		OSITIC
	::		OSITIC
	CASE DISDO	OSED NON-FINAL DISPO	

MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE

151387/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/10/2018

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:				PART	
		J.S.C. Justice			
				INDEX NO	
	-V-			MOTION D	ATE
			•		EQ. NO
·					
The following pap	ers, numbered 1 to, v	were read on this motion to	/for		
Notice of Motion/C	rder to Show Cause — Affic	davits — Exhibits		No(s)	·
Answering Affida	its — Exhibits			No(s)	····
Replying Affidavit	3			No(s).	
Upon the foregoi	ng papers, it is ordered th	at this motion is			
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	יא יוועניו ואוועניענט ווא ווא ווא ווא ווא	HEC. THE OHIV INCINCALE			
Plaintiff's hosp [c]). The mediand not physic 796, 797 [2nd I Plaintiff estable entitled to record Op 06602 [1st or lumbar spir accident, even Nothin disfigurement pity or scorn" (Marte Auto Cal	ous injury to her right kn n are the reports by Defe ital records may not be of cal records may not be of an office records, are ad- lept 2006]) and the MRI ishes she sustained a seri- ver for all her injuries, in Dept Sept. 26, 2017] [he e at trial, she will be en those that do not meet to g in Plaintiff's bill of par hat "a reasonable person Sidibe v Cordero, 79 AD rp., 104 AD3d 489 [1st D fore, Plaintiff may proce	endants doctors. considered because they onsidered because they missible by certification reports are not affirmed fous injury to either her including to her right kneed to recover damage the serious injury thres ricular or the parties sure would view as unattracted to 3d 536 [1st dept 2010]) Dept 2013] [holding contributions of the contributions of the parties of the contributions	are not certified are "certified" (Bronstein-(CPLR 2106 cervical or lunce (Karounos tablishes a seges for any othold."]).  bmissions sugative, objection or a fracture temporaneous	ied (CPLR 2306   " and "only hospir Becher v Becher, [a]). Nevertheles mbar spine, she w v Doulalas, 201' rious injury to he her injuries cause gest that Plaintiff nable, or as the su (Perez Hernandez hospital records	[a] & 4518 tal records, 25 AD3d ss, if vill be 7 NY Slip er cervical ed by the  f suffered a ubject of z v M.
Plaintiff's hosp [c]). The mediand not physic 796, 797 [2nd I Plaintiff estable entitled to record Op 06602 [1st or lumbar spir accident, even Nothin disfigurement pity or scorn" (Marte Auto Cal	n are the reports by Deferital records may not be of cal records may not be of an office records, are addept 2006]) and the MRI shes she sustained a seriver for all her injuries, in Dept Sept. 26, 2017] [he e at trial, she will be enthose that do not meet the series of the series o	endants doctors. considered because they onsidered because they missible by certification reports are not affirmed fous injury to either her including to her right kneed to recover damage the serious injury thres ricular or the parties sure would view as unattracted to 3d 536 [1st dept 2010]) Dept 2013] [holding contributions of the contributions of the parties of the contributions	are not certified are "certified" (Bronstein-(CPLR 2106 cervical or lunce (Karounos tablishes a seges for any othold."]).  bmissions sugative, objection or a fracture temporaneous	ied (CPLR 2306   " and "only hospir Becher v Becher, [a]). Nevertheles mbar spine, she w v Doulalas, 201' rious injury to he her injuries cause gest that Plaintiff nable, or as the su (Perez Hernandez hospital records	[a] & 4518 tal records, 25 AD3d ss, if vill be 7 NY Slip er cervical ed by the  f suffered a ubject of z v M.
Plaintiff's hosp [c]). The mediand not physic 796, 797 [2nd I Plaintiff estable entitled to record Op 06602 [1st or lumbar spir accident, even Nothin disfigurement pity or scorn" (Marte Auto Cal	n are the reports by Defenital records may not be of cal records may not be of an office records, are addept 2006]) and the MRI shes she sustained a seriver for all her injuries, in Dept Sept. 26, 2017] [he e at trial, she will be en those that do not meet the series of a reasonable person Sidibe v Cordero, 79 AD rp., 104 AD3d 489 [1st Defore, Plaintiff may processive of the series of the se	endants doctors. considered because they onsidered because they missible by certification reports are not affirmed fous injury to either her including to her right kneed to recover damage the serious injury thres ricular or the parties sure would view as unattracted to 3d 536 [1st dept 2010]) Dept 2013] [holding contributions of the contributions of the parties of the contributions	are not certified are "certified" (Bronstein-(CPLR 2106 cervical or lunce (Karounos tablishes a seges for any othold."]).  bmissions sugative, objection or a fracture temporaneous	ied (CPLR 2306   " and "only hospir Becher v Becher, [a]). Nevertheles mbar spine, she w v Doulalas, 201' rious injury to he her injuries cause gest that Plaintiff nable, or as the su (Perez Hernandez hospital records	[a] & 4518 tal records, 25 AD3d ss, if vill be 7 NY Slip er cervical ed by the  f suffered a ubject of z v M.
Plaintiff's hosp [c]). The mediand not physic 796, 797 [2nd Definition of the plaintiff estable entitled to record to possible of the plaintiff estable entitled to record to possible or lumbar spir accident, even when the pity or scorn of the pity or scorn of the plaintiff of t	n are the reports by Defenital records may not be of cal records may not be of an office records, are addept 2006]) and the MRI shes she sustained a seriver for all her injuries, in Dept Sept. 26, 2017] [he eat trial, she will be enthose that do not meet the series of the control of the con	endants doctors. considered because they onsidered because they missible by certification reports are not affirmed fous injury to either her including to her right kneed olding "[i]f plaintiff estitiled to recover damage the serious injury thres reticular or the parties sure would view as unattracted 336 [1st dept 2010]) Dept 2013] [holding contend under the permanent	are not certified are "certified" (Bronstein-(CPLR 2106 cervical or lunce (Karounos tablishes a seges for any othold."]).  bmissions sugative, objection or a fracture temporaneous consequentia	ied (CPLR 2306   " and "only hospir Becher v Becher, [a]). Nevertheles mbar spine, she w v Doulalas, 201 rious injury to he her injuries cause gest that Plaintiff nable, or as the su (Perez Hernandes hospital records and significant	[a] & 4518 tal records, 25 AD3d ss, if vill be 7 NY Slip er cervical ed by the  f suffered a lbject of z v M. establish
Plaintiff's hosp [c]). The mediand not physic 796, 797 [2nd I Plaintiff estable entitled to record Op 06602 [1st or lumbar spir accident, even Nothin disfigurement pity or scorn" (Marte Auto Cofracture]) there	n are the reports by Defenital records may not be of cal records may not be of an office records, are addept 2006]) and the MRI shes she sustained a seriver for all her injuries, in Dept Sept. 26, 2017] [he at trial, she will be enthose that do not meet to the management of the control of t	endants doctors.  considered because they onsidered because they missible by certification reports are not affirmed ious injury to either her including to her right knew olding "[i]f plaintiff estitled to recover damage the serious injury thres exticular or the parties sure would view as unattracted as a would view as unattracted as a would view as unattracted as a would read under the permanent of the permanen	are not certified are "certified" (Bronstein-(CPLR 2106 cervical or lunce (Karounos tablishes a set ges for any othold."]).  bmissions sugative, objection or a fracture temporaneous consequentia	ied (CPLR 2306   " and "only hospin Becher v Becher, [a]). Nevertheles mbar spine, she w v Doulalas, 201 rious injury to he her injuries cause gest that Plaintiff nable, or as the su (Perez Hernandez) hospital records and significant	[a] & 4518 tal records, 25 AD3d ss, if vill be 7 NY Slip er cervical ed by the  f suffered a ubject of z v M. establish
Plaintiff's hosp [c]). The mediand not physic 796, 797 [2nd Description of the property of the	n are the reports by Defenital records may not be of cal records may not be of an office records, are addept 2006]) and the MRI shes she sustained a seriver for all her injuries, in Dept Sept. 26, 2017] [he eat trial, she will be enthose that do not meet the series of the control of the con	endants doctors.  considered because they onsidered because they missible by certification reports are not affirmed fous injury to either her including to her right kneed olding "[i]f plaintiff estitiled to recover damage the serious injury thres exticular or the parties sure would view as unattracted as a would read under the permanent of the permanent	are not certified are "certified are "certified are "(Bronstein-(CPLR 2106) cervical or lunce (Karounos tablishes a seges for any othold."]).  bmissions sugative, objection or a fracture temporaneous consequential	ied (CPLR 2306   " and "only hospic Becher v Becher, [a]). Nevertheles mbar spine, she w v Doulalas, 201 rious injury to he her injuries cause gest that Plaintiff nable, or as the su (Perez Hernandes hospital records al and significant	[a] & 4518 tal records, 25 AD3d ss, if vill be 7 NY Slip er cervical ed by the  f suffered a abject of z v M. establish

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE\_

INDEX NO. 151387/2015

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT:	HON. PAUL A	J.S.C.	PART	<u> 22 </u>
		Justice		
	r : 151387/2015	<del>-</del>		7
VENTURA, M	IILDRED		INDEX NO	
vs MARTINEZ, A	ΛREI Δ		MOTION DATE	
Sequence Numb			MOTION SEQ.	NO
SUMMARY JUD				
The following paper	s, numbered 1 to, we	ere read on this motion to/for	-	
Notice of Motion/Or	der to Show Cau <del>se</del> — Affida	vits — Exhibits	No(s)	
Answering Affidavit	s — Exhibits		No(s)	
Upon the foregoin	g papers, it is ordered tha	t this motion is		
limitation of u	se categories as well as u	nder her 90/180-day claim.		
		the foregoing it is hereby		
ORD	ERED that Defendants' s	ummary judgment motion is (	GRANTED as to Plaintiff's C	
serious injury and it is further	to her right knee and clar er	ms under the significant distin	guroment and maceuse early	*
serious injury and it is furthe  ORD  serious injury	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumba	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is furthe  ORD serious injury	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumba	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is furthe  ORD serious injury  ORD Centre Street	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbs  ERED that the parties are	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is furthe  ORD serious injury  ORD Centre Street	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbate that the parties are, Room 136 on February 2	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is furthe  ORD serious injury  ORD Centre Street	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbate that the parties are, Room 136 on February 2	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is furthe  ORD serious injury  ORD Centre Street	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbate that the parties are, Room 136 on February 2	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is furthe  ORD serious injury  ORD Centre Street	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbate that the parties are, Room 136 on February 2	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is furthe  ORD serious injury  ORD Centre Street	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbate that the parties are, Room 136 on February 2	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is furthe  ORD serious injury  ORD Centre Street	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbate that the parties are Room 136 on February 2	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is further ORD serious injury ORD Centre Street This	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbs.  ERED that the parties are, Room 136 on February 2 constitutes the Decision	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla	im of er
serious injury and it is further ORD serious injury ORD Centre Street  This	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbs.  ERED that the parties are, Room 136 on February 2 constitutes the Decision	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 e directed to appear for a settl 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla-day category; and it is further ement conference in Part 22,	im of er
serious injury and it is further order ord	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbars. Room 136 on February 2 constitutes the Decision	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 et directed to appear for a settle 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.  and Order of the Court.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla-day category; and it is further ement conference in Part 22,	im of er , 80
serious injury and it is further ORD serious injury ORD Centre Street  This	to her right knee and clarer  ERED that Defendants's to her cervical and lumbate the parties are Room 136 on February 2 constitutes the Decision  2018  TE:	summary judgment motion is lar spine and under the 90/180 et directed to appear for a settle 20, 2018 at 9:30 AM.  and Order of the Court.	DENIED as to Plaintiff's cla-day category; and it is further ement conference in Part 22, NON-FENIED GRANTED IN PART 21.	im of er , 80