П		nca	n v	/ C	ha	nd	lor
$\mathbf{\nu}$	u	ILa		<i>'</i> •	Па	HU	ıeı

2018 NY Slip Op 31251(U)

June 18, 2018

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 160341/2016

Judge: Debra A. James

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 24

INDEX NO. 160341/2016 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/18/2018

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT: HON. DEBRA A. JAMES		PART <u>59</u>
	<i>Justice</i> X	
KHALI DUNCAN,	INDEX NO.	160341/2016
Petitioner,		
- V -	MOTION DATE	06/23/2017
	MOTION SEQ. N	o . 001
RICK CHANDLER, NEW YORK CITY DEPARTME BUILDINGS, NEW YORK CITY ELECTRICAL LICE WILLIAM HINCKLEY,	· ·	
Respondents.		
	X	
The following e-filed documents, listed by NYS 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23	CEF document number 7, 8, 9, 10,	, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15,
were read on this petition for	ARTICLE 78 (BODY OF	R OFFICER)
-	IIDCMENE	

JUDGMENT

Upon the foregoing documents, it is

ADJUDGED that the petition of the petitioner pursuant to Article 78 petition is denied and the proceeding is dismissed, without costs or disbursements in favor of respondent and against petitioner.

DECISION

In this Article 78 proceeding, petitioner Khali Duncan seeks to reverse a decision by the New York City Department of Buildings (DOB), dated November 14, 2016, which denied his application for a Master Electrician's License.

160341/2016 DUNCAN, KHALI vs. CHANDLER, P.E., RICK D Motion No. 001

Page 1 of 7

Background

In March 2012, petitioner Duncan graduated from a qualified apprenticeship program, which had lasted five and one-half years. In October 2014, petitioner applied to take the Master Electrician's license written examination, which he passed. In August 2015, petitioner applied to DOB for a Master Electrician's License.

On May 23, 2016, DOB denied petitioner's application.

DOB found that, as a graduate of an apprenticeship program,

petitioner was required to demonstrate five and one-half years

of experience, as described in the statute, separate from his

training in the apprenticeship program. DOB found that

petitioner had only demonstrated ten months and eight days of

experience outside of the apprenticeship program.

Petitioner requested that DOB reconsider his application. Petitioner contended that DOB had misconstrued and misapplied the statute by failing to credit him for the experience he gained while working for licensed master electricians during his apprenticeship program.

On November 14, 2016, DOB denied petitioner's request for reconsideration, finding that he did not have the required five and one half (5½) years of experience. DOB rejected petitioner's assertion that the experience he gained during his

160341/2016 DUNCAN, KHALI vs. CHANDLER, P.E., RICK D Motion No. 001

Page 2 of 7

5½ apprenticeship program was sufficient to satisfy the statutory requirements.

In denying petitioner's request, DOB's letter stated that New York City Administrative Code (NYC Admin. Code) § 27-3010(a)(3) allows a graduate of an apprenticeship program to reduce the number of years of required practical experience from seven and one-half (7½) years to five and one-half (5½) years but it does not permit an applicant to use the experience gained as part of an apprenticeship training program to meet the required 5½ years of practical experience.

The letter states that "[p]ractical experience is an integral part of the apprenticeship training program and it cannot be counted towards the separate years of practice experience requirement."

In December 2016, petitioner commenced this Article 78 proceeding, seeking to vacate and reverse DOB's decision as arbitrary and capricious. Specifically, petitioner argues that there is nothing in NYC Admin. Code § 27-3010 that requires an applicant to have 5½ years of experience separate from any experience gained during a qualified apprenticeship program.

Analysis

NYC Admin. Code § 27-3010 (a) (3) provides that, with certain exceptions, an applicant for a license as a master electrician must have at least 7½ years of relevant experience

160341/2016 DUNCAN, KHALI vs. CHANDLER, P.E., RICK D Motion No. 001

Page 3 of 7

in the installation, alteration and repair of wiring and appliances for electric light, heat and power in or on buildings or comparable facilities. Such experience must take place under the direct supervision of a licensed master electrician or special electrician.

Relevant here, the statute provides that certain applicants, including those who have completed qualified apprenticeship programs, need only have 5½ years of qualified experience. Specifically, § 27-3010 (a) provides that the satisfactory experience requirement is fulfilled by:

A graduate of a vocational, industrial, trade school, or apprenticeship program[,] registered with the New York state department of labor, specializing in electrical wiring, installation and design or applied electricity, who has worked at least five and one-half (5½) years and during such time a minimum of seventy-seven hundred (7700) hours of such experience must have been obtained by working with his or her tools on the installation, alteration and repair of wiring and appliances for electric light, heat and power in or on buildings or comparable facilities.

To succeed in this proceeding, petitioner must demonstrate that DOB's decision was arbitrary and capricious, lacked a rational basis or constituted an abuse of discretion.

Fronshtein v Chandler, 150 AD3d 552, 553 (1st Dept. 2017).

As set forth above, NYC Admin. Code § 27-3010 (a) provides that, with certain exceptions, an applicant for a license as a master electrician must have at least seven and

one-half years of relevant experience. One such exception is for graduates of qualified apprenticeship programs, such as petitioner. In such cases, the statute requires that such an applicant have 5½ years of experience. Matter of Gristina v Smith, 177 AD2d 388, 388 (1st Dept. 1991).

It is undisputed that petitioner did not have 5½ years of experience, separate from his apprenticeship, at the time of his application. Despite this, petitioner contends that DOB erred in denying his application because he should have been credited with experience gained during his apprenticeship.

Petitioner's argument is unpersuasive. NYC Admin.

Code §27-3010 specifically requires that a *graduate* of an apprenticeship program have 5½ years of experience. Nothing in the statute indicates that such experience may be gained during the apprenticeship program and before the applicant graduates.

In denying petitioner's application, DOB reasonably found that, while the experience gained during the apprenticeship program was integral to such a program, it was not sufficient to eliminate the need for separate experience outside of the apprenticeship program. Significantly, the court notes that it is within DOB's expertise to determine how much, and what type, of experience is required to obtain a Master Electrician's License, and such expertise is entitled to significant deference from the court. See Matter of Save

160341/2016 DUNCAN, KHALI vs. CHANDLER, P.E., RICK D Motion No. 001

Page 5 of 7

Gansevoort, LLC v City of New York, 158 AD3d 483, 486 (1st Dept. 2018).

Given such deference, the court finds that petitioner has not demonstrated that such a finding is arbitrary, capricious, or lacks a rational basis. Nor has petitioner demonstrated that the result here should be different because his apprenticeship lasted 5½ years.

Finally, petitioner's reliance on Stathopolos v Smith, 141 Misc2d 1023 (Sup Ct, NY Co 1988), though logical, nonetheless fails to support his case. In Stathopolos, the judge held that under the experience requirement for a master electrician's license under NYC Admin. Code § 27-3010(a)(3), it was arbitrary and capricious for DOB to have added seven and one-half years (for a total of eleven and one-half [11½] years) to the four years of experience that it took for petitioner Stathopolos to move from an apprentice to journeyman under Labor Law § 815.

The Stathopolos judge remanded the matter back to DOB for "further proceedings in accordance with this decision".

Stathopolos does not support petitioner's argument that his

INDEX NO. 160341/2016
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/18/2018

experience in the apprenticeship program before his graduation counted toward the post graduate 5½ years of experience required for an apprenticeship program graduate to quality for the master electrician's license under NYC Admin. Code § 27-3010(a)(3).

6/18/2018	_		1/2/1/1/	And and	
DATE		DEBRA A. JAMES, J.S.C.			
CHECK ONE:	X CASE DISPOSED GRANTED	X DENIED	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION GRANTED IN PART	OTHER	
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER		SUBMIT ORDER		
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	DO NOT POST		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE	