•			_	
Sh	de	1/	(20	ller
011	uэ	v	G.	

2018 NY Slip Op 32176(U)

September 7, 2018

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 156102/2016

Judge: Joan A. Madden

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 113

INDEX NO. 156102/2016

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/07/2018

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK — NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. JOAN A. MADDEN PART 11

Justice

SHANA YVETTE SHIELDS, as Administratrix of the Goods, Chattels and credits of the Estate of DEBRELLA NESBITT, and GREG SHIELDS, individually,

Plaintiffs,

156102 | 2016 INDEX NO. : 805004414

INDEX NO. : 805004714

MOTION DATE:

. V -

MOTION SEQ. NO.: 002

STELLA GELLER, D.O., IDEAL MEDICINE, P.C., PRIORITY MEDICAL, DFU MEDICAL P.C., UNIVERSAL FAMILY MEDICINE, MAXIM KREDITOR, M.D., MAXIM KREDITOR, M.D., P.C.,

Defendants.

The following papers, numbered 1 to were read on this motion to.	
	PAPERS NUMBERED
Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits	
Answering Affidavits — Exhibits	
Replying Affidavits	l
Cross-Motion: [1Yes [x]No	

Plaintiffs move, by order to show cause, for an order pursuant to CPLR 3025(b) granting leave to amend the complaint to include a cause of action for wrongful death. Defendants Maxim Kreditor and Maxim Kreditor, P.C. (together "Kreditor defendants"), DFU Medical P.C. and Universal Family Medicine, P.C. s/h/a Universal Family Medicine (together "the Universal defendants"), and Stella Geller D.O. separately oppose the motion.

This is an action for medical malpractice arising from allegations that defendants, *inter alia*, failed to timely and properly diagnose lung cancer. It is alleged that decedent was treated by Dr. Geller from April 13, 2007 through in or about December 12, 2014, and by Dr. Kreditor

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 113

INDEX NO. 156102/2016

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/07/2018

on November 6, 2009, November 30, 2009, May 3, 2011, and April 4, 2014. It is alleged that decedent was diagnosed with stage IV lung cancer in or around June 14, 2014. This action was

filed on July 22, 2016, and decedent died on April 5, 2017.

In support of their motion to amend, plaintiffs submit the uncertified hospital records from New York Presbyterian Hospital stating that the immediate cause of decedent's death was NSCLC (i.e. non-small cell lung cancer), and her death certificate indicating that she died of natural causes. In addition, in her affirmation, counsel states that "[w]e have conferred with an expert in hematology/oncology who has opined to a reasonable degree of medical certainty that the malpractice alleged in this action was the proximate cause of decedent's death."

The Kreditor defendants oppose the motion, arguing that plaintiffs failed to sufficiently show the merit of the proposed amendment since they did not submit an affidavit from an expert in hermatology/oncology establishing a causal connection between the alleged malpractice and plaintiff's death, citing e.g. McGuire v. Small, 129 AD2d 429, 429 (1st Dept. 1987); Cruz v. Brown, 129 AD3d 455 (1st Dept 2015). The Universal defendants and Dr. Geller oppose the motion on similar grounds.

In reply, plaintiffs assert that <u>Cruz v. Brown</u>, <u>supra</u> is not controlling here, and that their papers adequately demonstrate that the amendment is not palpably insufficient or devoid of merit.¹

Leave to amend a pleading should be 'freely given' (CPLR 3025[b]) as a matter of discretion in the absence of prejudice or surprise." Zaid Theatre Corp. v. Sona Realty Co., 18

¹The court has not considered the Kreditor defendants' sur-reply since, in their reply, plaintiffs do not raise new arguments or submit additional evidence.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 113

INDEX NO. 156102/2016

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/07/2018

AD3d 352, 355-356 (1st Dept 2005)(internal citations and quotations omitted). In this context, the courts define prejudice as a "some special right lost in the interim, some change of position, or some significant trouble or expense which could have been avoided had the original pleading contained what the amended one wants to add." <u>Barbour v. Hospital for Special Surgery</u>, 169 AD2d 385, 386 (1st Dept. 1991)(citations omitted). Here, there are no assertions of prejudice or surprise.

Instead, at issue is the merit of the proposed wrongful death claim. In this regard, in general, leave to amend is granted as long as the proponent submits sufficient support to show that proposed amendment is not "palpably insufficient or clearly devoid of merit." MBIA Ins Corp. v. Greystone & Co., Inc., 74 AD3d 499 (1st Dept 2010)(citation omitted). That said, however, the Appellate Division, First Department has held that in the context of personal injury and medical malpractice actions, in order to add a wrongful death claim, a plaintiff must submit "competent medical proof of the causal connection between the alleged malpractice and the death of the original plaintiff." Gambles v. Davis, 32 AD3d 224, 225 (1st Dept 2006), citing McGuire v. Small, 129 AD2d at 692; See also, Frangladakis Estate of Bazas v. 51 West 81st Street Corp., 161 AD3d 478 (1st Dept 2018)("to support amending a personal injury complaint to add a cause of action for wrongful death, plaintiffs were required to submit competent medical proof of the causal connection between the alleged malpractice and the death of the original plaintiff")(internal citations and quotations omitted).

Here, plaintiffs have not submitted competent proof of causation but, instead, rely on the

²Unlike the First Department, the Second Department does not require competent medical proof to demonstrate the merit of a proposed claim for wrongful death. See <u>Lucido v. Mancuso</u>, 49 AD3d 220 (2d Dept 2008), appeal withdrawn 12 NY3d 804 (2009).

THEE TOTAL CO

death).3

INDEX NO. 156102/2016

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/07/2018

uncertified hospital records and statement of counsel describing the opinion of their expert.

McGuire v. Small, 129 AD2d at 692 (trial court erred in granting leave to amend to add a wrongful death action as plaintiff did not "present expert medical opinion that the alleged failure to diagnose splenic carcinoma was causally connected to the plaintiff's death"); Frangladakis

Estate of Bazas v. 51 West 81st Street Corp., 161 AD3d at 478 (affirming trial court order granting leave to amend to add wrongful death claim based on affirmation of plaintiff's expert which stated that to a reasonable degree of medical certainty the decedent's injury led to his

In view of the above, it is

ORDERED that the motion for leave to amend the complaint to add a cause of action for wrongful death is denied without prejudice to renewal upon competent medical proof of the casual connection between the alleged malpractice and decedent's death.

DATED: September /, 2018

J.S.C. J.S.C.

Check One: [] FINAL DISPOSITION [x] NON-FINAL DISPOSITION

³Contrary to plaintiffs' argument, <u>Hickey v. Kaufman</u>, 156 AD3d 436 (1st Dept 2017) is not controlling here since it did not involve a medical malpractice action or a motion to amend to add a wrongful death claim.