2018 NY Slip Op 32286(U)

September 14, 2018

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 653239/2015

Judge: Saliann Scarpulla

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

COUNTY CLERK 09/17/2018

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 159

INDEX NO. 653239/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/17/2018

| JTS TRADING LIMITED,   | INDEX NO.       | 653239/2015        |  |  |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Plaintiff,   | MOTION DATE     | 05/29/2018         |  |  |
| - V - AFIN ASESORES, CKD INFRAESTRUCTURA MEXICO S.A. DE C.V., EDUARDO RAMOS, | MOTION SEQ. NO. | 001                |  |  |
| Defendants.  | DECISION AN     | DECISION AND ORDER |  |  |

The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 001) 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158 **DISMISS** 

were read on this motion to/for

In an action arising from an alleged breach of a non-disclosure agreement ("NDA"), defendants Afin Asesores ("Afin"), CKD Infraestructura Mexico S.A. de C.V. ("CKD"), and Eduardo Ramos ("Ramos") move, pursuant to CPLR 327 (a), CPLR 3211 (a)(1), and CPLR 3211 (a)(7), to dismiss the complaint.

## **Background**

Plaintiff JTS Trading Limited is a Hong Kong corporation with its principal place of business in Kowloon, Hong Kong. Plaintiff is in the business of managing various commercial ventures and development projects.

Afin is a Mexican entity with a principal place of business in Mexico City, Mexico. Afin is an advisory firm specializing in Mexican infrastructure project financing. CKD is a Mexican corporation with a principal place of business in Mexico.

653239/2015 JTS TRADING LIMITED vs. AFIN ASESORES Motion No. 001

Page 1 of 5

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 159

INDEX NO. 653239/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/17/2018

CKD is in the business of managing commercial ventures and infrastructure projects. Ramos is a Mexican citizen who owns and operates Afin and CKD.

According to the complaint, plaintiff and Afin initially started communicating in November 2014 to explore potential business ventures. On December 16, 2014, plaintiff and Afin executed the NDA in Mexico, and Ramos signed the NDA on behalf of Afin. The NDA contained a "Non-Circumvention" provision.

After executing the NDA, plaintiff alleges that it introduced Ramos and Afin to non-party Empresas ICA ("ICA"), a Mexican company. On January 23, 2015, Ramos and plaintiff met with ICA in Mexico to discuss a development project in Mexico. Although Ramos subsequently informed plaintiff that he was unable to work on the ICA project, plaintiff alleges that Ramos instead registered CKD to circumvent the NDA and transact with ICA.

Ramos was served with a summons and verified complaint at a conference he attended in New York, NY. The complaint asserts two causes of action: (1) breach of the NDA against Afin; and (2) unfair competition against Ramos, Afin, and CDK. Defendants now move for dismissal on, among other things, forum non conveniens grounds.

## Discussion

"The movant seeking dismissal has a 'heavy burden' of establishing that New York is an inconvenient forum and that a substantial nexus between New York and the action is lacking" Elmaliach v Bank of China Ltd., 110 AD3d 192, 208 (1st Dep't 2013).

653239/2015 JTS TRADING LIMITED vs. AFIN ASESORES Motion No. 001

Page 2 of 5

INDEX NO. 653239/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/17/2018

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 159

"The factors in weighing such a motion to dismiss include the burden on New York courts, potential hardship to the defendant, the unavailability of an alternate forum, the residence of the parties, and the location of the events giving rise to the transaction at issue in the litigation, with no one factor controlling" *Elmaliach*, 110 AD3d at 208.

Here, no party resides or has its principal place of business in New York. Plaintiff is a Hong Kong corporation, and Afin and CDK are Mexican entities with principal places of business in Mexico. Ramos is a citizen of Mexico.

Plaintiff submits an affidavit in opposition to dismissal from Daniel C. Young ("Young"), who is plaintiff's Project Development Director. The only connection to New York that Young raises in his affidavit is that he was initially introduced by email to non-party Sergio Sanz ("Sanz") who resides in New York. According to Young, Sanz represented himself as the "head" of Afin's New York office, and both discussed potential business opportunities and exchanged a non-disclosure agreement.

However, even if Sanz worked for Afin and had introductory conversations with Young from New York, that is not sufficient for New York courts to retain this action. See Spindler v Fast, 65 A.D.2d 746, 746 (1st Dep't 1978) (affirming dismissal when the "only connection with New York lies in the fact that some of the negotiations leading up to the subject agreement took place here and that provision is made for New York law to apply.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Young is a citizen of Australia.

YORK COUNTY CLERK 09/17/2018 09:27

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 159

INDEX NO. 653239/2015 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/17/2018

Instead, the key events underlying plaintiff's claims took place entirely in Mexico. As Young attests, he met Ramos to further discuss potential business opportunities in Mexico. The parties thereafter signed the NDA in Mexico to explore potential business ventures in Mexico. Meetings regarding those opportunities took place in Mexico. The conduct giving rise to the alleged breach occurred in Mexico, which relates to defendants transacting with ICA, a non-party Mexican entity.

It is also undisputed that Mexican law applies,<sup>2</sup> and that Mexico is an adequate alternative forum to resolve commercial disputes. Moreover, relevant witnesses are in Mexico, including defendants and non-party ICA. Although plaintiff notes that other witnesses are located elsewhere, this factor, at best, is neutral.

After review of the relevant factors, and particularly because New York has very little nexus to this action and there are adequate alternative fora with substantial connections to the parties and their dispute, I find that the action should be dismissed on forum non conveniens grounds.<sup>3</sup> See Norex Petroleum Ltd. v Blavatnik, 151 A.D.3d 647, 648 (1st Dep't 2017) ("[O]ur courts should not be under any compulsion to add to their heavy burdens by accepting jurisdiction of a cause of action having no substantial nexus with New York"). Because I dismiss the entirety of the complaint pursuant to CPLR 327

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The NDA contains a choice of law provision stating, "This Agreement shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the United States of America and or the United States of Mexico." Notably absent is the selection of New York law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mexico, for example, is forum with a much more substantial connection to these parties and their dispute.

COUNTY CLERK 09/17/2018

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 159

INDEX NO. 653239/2015 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/17/2018

(a), I do not address defendants' additional arguments for dismissal pursuant to CPLR 3211 (a)(1) and CPLR 3211 (a)(7).

In accordance with the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that the motion of defendants Afin Asesores, CKD Infraestructura Mexico S.A. de C.V., and Eduardo Ramos to dismiss this action on the ground that New York is an inconvenient forum is granted, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, and the Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

This constitutes the decision and order of the court.

| 9/14/18               |           |                     |      |      | Jahan             | 1 car | Bull      |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|------|------|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| 'DATÉ                 |           |                     |      |      | SALIANN SCARP     | ULLA, | J.\$.C.   |
| CHECK ONE:            | X CASE DI |                     | ED ☐ |      | FINAL DISPOSITION | ·     | OTHER     |
| APPLICATION:          | SETTLE    | ORDER               |      | SUBN | IIT ORDER         | ш     |           |
| CHECK IF APPROPRIATE: | INCLUDE   | ES TRANSFER/REASSIG | N 🗌  | FIDU | CIARY APPOINTMENT |       | REFERENCE |