

Miral, Inc. v Kovac Media Group, Inc.
2018 NY Slip Op 32305(U)
September 18, 2018
Supreme Court, New York County
Docket Number: 159320/2016
Judge: Kathryn E. Freed
Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op <u>30001</u> (U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.
This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT: HON. KATHRYN E. FREED

PART

IAS MOTION 2

Justice

-----X

MIRAL, INC., LOGAN RASKIN, ROGER RASKIN,

Plaintiff,

- v -

KOVAC MEDIA GROUP, INC., EVIL ENTERTAINMENT, INC., S
RAE PRODUCTIONS, LLC, ARTIST GROUP INTERNATIONAL
LLC

Defendant.

INDEX NO.

159320/2016

MOTION DATE

08/21/2018

MOTION SEQ. NO.

002**DECISION AND ORDER**

-----X

The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 002) 17, 18, 19, 20, 21
were read on this motion to/for PRO HAC VICE.

Upon the foregoing documents, **the motion is granted without opposition.**

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 520.11 (a)(1) of the Rules of the Court of Appeals, “[a]n attorney and counselor-at-law or the equivalent who is a member in good standing of the bar of another state, territory, district or foreign country may be admitted pro hac vice: (1) in the discretion of any court of record, to participate in any matter in which the attorney is employed or (2) in the discretion of the Appellate Division.” 22 NYCRR 520.11 (d) provides that an attorney admitted pro hac vice “(1) shall be familiar with and shall comply with the standards of professional conduct imposed upon members of the New York bar, including the rules of court governing the conduct of attorneys and the Disciplinary Rules of the Code of Professional Responsibility; and (2) shall be subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of this State with respect to any acts occurring during the course of the attorney's participation in the matter. 22 NYCRR 602.2(a) of the Rules of the Appellate Division, First Department provides, inter alia, that an attorney from another state, territory district or foreign country, may be admitted pro hac vice to participate in the trial

or argument of a particular cause in which the attorney may be employed, upon application to and in the discretion of the court in which the cause is pending.”

Here, defendant Kovac Media Group, Inc., d/b/a Tenth Street Entertainment and Artist Group International LLC, has established to this Court’s satisfaction that Alexander Sasha Frid, Esq., an attorney admitted in good standing to practice in the courts of the State of California, is familiar with the rules of professional conduct in this state and avers that he will adhere to them during the course of this proceeding. He also acknowledges that he will be subject to this Court’s jurisdiction. David I. Bosko, Esq., an associate at the New York office of Miller Barondess, LLP, and an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of this State, has indicated that defendant has specifically requested that Alexander Sasha Frid, appear on its behalf in this action given that he has represented the defendant on numerous occasions in the State of California. Frid annexes a copy of his Certificate of Good Standing from the State of California Doc. No. 20. This Court can discern no reason to deny the request.

Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the motion is granted, and Alexander Sasha Frid is permitted to appear and to participate pro hac vice in this action on behalf of. defendant Kovac Media Group, Inc., d/b/a Tenth Street Entertainment and Artist Group International LLC,; and it is further

ORDERED that Alexander Sasha Frid shall at all times be associated herein with counsel David I. Bosko, who is a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of New York and is an attorney of record for the party in question, and all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed with the Court shall be signed by the attorney of record who shall be held responsible for such papers and for the conduct of this action; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 520.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals and Section 602.2 of the Rules of the Appellate Division, First Department, the attorney hereby admitted pro hac vice, Alexander Sasha Frid, shall abide by the standards of professional conduct imposed upon members of the New York Bar, including the Rules of the Court governing the conduct of attorneys and the Disciplinary Rules of the Code of Professional Responsibility; and it is further

ORDERED that Alexander Sasha Frid shall be subject to the jurisdiction of the courts of the State of New York with respect to any acts occurring during the course of his participation in this matter; and it is further

ORDERED that Alexander Sasha Frid shall notify the Court immediately of any matter or event in this or any other jurisdiction which affects his standing as a member of the Bar; and it is further

ORDERED that this constitutes the decision and order of the court.

9/18/2018

DATE

CHECK ONE:

☐

CASE DISPOSED

☒

GRANTED

☐

DENIED

☒

NON-FINAL DISPOSITION

☐

GRANTED IN PART

☐

OTHER

APPLICATION:

☐

SETTLE ORDER

☐

SUBMIT ORDER

CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:

☐

INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN

☐

FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT

☐

REFERENCE

KATHRYN E. FREED, J.S.C.