American Tr. Ins. Co. v Morales	
2018 NY Slip Op 32441(U)	
	_

September 28, 2018

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 153030/2017

Judge: Kathryn E. Freed

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 24

INDEX NO. 153030/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. KATHRYN E. FREED	_ PART	IAS MOTION 2	
	Justice			
	X	INDEX NO.	153030/2017	
AMERICAN 1	FRANSIT INSURANCE COMPANY,			
	Plaintiff,		001	
	- v -	MOTION SEQ. NO.	001	
REFERENCE ADVANCED MEDICAL DICTURE P.C., COLUM OF NEW YOU P.C., DOWNT CHIROPRAC CORP., HUM INC., LEO AC RECORDS R ANESTHESIA JOSEPH'S PI P.L.L.C., SUF	MORALES, 21ST CENTURY PHARMACY INC., ACCU E MEDICAL LAB LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, RECOVERY EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES, LLC, ALL GITAL DIAGNOSTICS, P.C., AM PATEL PT E JACOBSON D.C., CITYCARE CHIROPRACTIC, BUS IMAGING CENTER, COMPREHENSIVE MRI RK, P.C., DIRECT MEDICAL CARE TOWN PAIN MEDICINE, P.C., DURAMED LLC, EA ETIC DIAGNOSTICS P.C., FULL SPINE ETIC OF NY P.C., HELPFUL MEDICAL SUPPLY, IAN TOUCH REHAB PT, PLLC, KAMARA SUPPLIES, UPUNCTURE, P.C., MAXIM TYORKIN, MEDICAL TETRIEVAL INC., PROGRESSIVE HUDSON A LLC, RJR MEDICAL, P.C., RX FOR YOU CORP, ST. HYSICAL THERAPY P.C., STEVEN STRUHL, M.D., RGICORE SURGICAL CENTER LLC, WORKERS TION RX SOLUTIONS	DECISION AND ORDER		
	Defendant.			
	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document no. 5, 17, 18	umber (Motion 001) 7,	8, 9, 10, 11, 12,	
were read on	this motion to/for JUD	GMENT - DECLARAT	ORY	
Upon the for	egoing documents, it is ordered that the motio	on is denied.		

In this declaratory judgment action, plaintiff American Transit Insurance Company ("ATIC") moves, pursuant to CPLR 3215, for a default judgment against defendants

CAROLINA MORALES ("the claimant"), 21ST CENTURY PHARMACY INC., ACCU

REFERENCE MEDICAL LAB LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ADVANCED

RECOVERY EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES, LLC, ALL MEDICAL DIGITAL

DIAGNOSTICS, P.C., AM PATEL PT PLLC, BRUCE JACOBSON D.C., CITYCARE

153030/2017 AMERICAN TRANSIT INSURANCE vs. MORALES, CAROLINA Motion No. 001

Page 1 of 6

INDEX NO. 153030/2017

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 24 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018

CHIROPRACTIC, P.C., COLUMBUS IMAGING CENTER, COMPREHENSIVE MRI OF NEW YORK, P.C., DIRECT MEDICAL CARE P.C., DOWNTOWN PAIN MEDICINE, P.C., DURAMED LLC, EA CHIROPRACTIC DIAGNOSTICS P.C., FULL SPINE CHIROPRACTIC OF NY P.C., HELPFUL MEDICAL SUPPLY, CORP., HUMAN TOUCH REHAB PT, PLLC, KAMARA SUPPLIES, INC., LEO ACUPUNCTURE, P.C., MAXIM TYORKIN, MEDICAL RECORDS RETRIEVAL INC., PROGRESSIVE HUDSON ANESTHESIA LLC, RJR MEDICAL, P.C., RX FOR YOU CORP, ST. JOSEPH'S PHYSICAL THERAPY P.C., STEVEN STRUHL, M.D., P.L.L.C., SURGICORE SURGICAL CENTER LLC, WORKERS COMPENSATION RX SOLUTIONS (collectively "the medical provider defendants"). After a review of the motion papers, as well as a review of the relevant statutes and case law, the motion, which is unopposed, is **denied**.

On March 9, 2016, the claimant was allegedly injured in a motor vehicle accident while a passenger in a vehicle owned by Lopez Vejanio and insured by ATIC. Doc. 1. Claimant allegedly underwent treatment by the medical provider defendants and submitted a No-Fault Benefits NF-2 claim form to ATIC along with a letter of representation from her attorneys, Gropper Law Group, PLLC. In his Affirmation in Support, Plaintiff's attorney, Justin Rothman, states that the form was received on April 1, 2016. Doc. 11. Rothman also states that plaintiff assigned her right to collect no-fault benefits to the medical provider defendants. Id. On September 9, 2016, Signet Medical Services, PC., on behalf of ATIC, requested that claimant appear for an independent medical examination ("IME") on September 29, 2016. Doc. 10. When claimant failed to appear, Signet Medical Services, PC sent claimant another letter, this time requesting that she

¹ All references are to the documents filed with NYSCEF in this matter.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/01/2018 02:46 PM INDEX N

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 24

INDEX NO. 153030/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018

appear for an IME on October 17, 2016. Doc. 12. Claimant failed to appear on the second

scheduled IME date as well.

By summons and verified complaint dated February 21, 2017, and filed with this Court

on March 31, 2017, ATIC commenced this action against the claimant and the medical provider

defendants. Doc. 1. ATIC thereafter served each of the defendants with process. Docs. 2 and 6.

ATIC also served each defendant with an additional copy of the summons and complaint in

compliance with CPLR 3215(g). Doc. 16. In its complaint, ATIC sought a declaration that the

claimant's failure to appear for an IME constituted a breach of a condition precedent to coverage

under the ATIC policy, and that the claimant and the medical provider defendants were thus not

entitled to coverage under the policy. Defendants have neither answered the complaint nor

otherwise appeared herein. Doc. 11. However, by Stipulation of Discontinuance, dated July 28,

2017, this action was discontinued, with prejudice, against the medical providers CITYCARE

CHIROPRACTIC, P.C., DOWNTOWN PAIN MEDICINE, P.C., MAXIM TYORKIN MD, and

RJR MEDICAL, P.C. Doc 4. Additionally, this action was discontinued against the claimant,

CAROLINA MORALES, by Stipulation of Discontinuance and Release dated 7/24/2017. Doc. 5.

CPLR 3215(a) provides, in pertinent part, that "[w]hen a defendant has failed to appear,

plead or proceed to trial..., the plaintiff may seek a default judgment against him." It is well settled

that "[o]n a motion for leave to enter a default judgment pursuant to CPLR 3215, the movant is

required to submit proof of service of the summons and complaint, proof of the facts constituting

the claim, and proof of the defaulting party's default in answering or appearing." Atlantic Cas.

Ins. Co. v RJNJ Servs. Inc., 89 AD3d 649, 651 (2d Dept 2011).

153030/2017 AMERICAN TRANSIT INSURANCE vs. MORALES, CAROLINA Motion No. 001

Page 3 of 6

3 of 6

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/01/2018 02:46 PM INDEX NO. 153030/2017

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 24

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018

Here, ATIC has established that it served the claimant and the medical provider defendants

and that they failed to answer. However, ATIC is not entitled to the declaratory relief sought

because it has failed to demonstrate whether its demand for the claimant to appear for an IME was

timely. This omission is critical given the strict procedural and time constraints associated with

New York's no-fault law, which is designed "to ensure prompt compensation for losses incurred

by accident victims without regard to fault or negligence, to reduce the burden on the courts and

to provide substantial premium savings to New York motorists". Hospital for Joint Diseases v

Travelers Property Cas. Ins. Co., 9 NY3d 312, 317 (2007), quoting Matter of Medical Socy. of

State of N.Y. v Serio, 100 NY2d 854, 860 (2003).

New York's no-fault regulations contain specific time frames for requesting and scheduling

IMEs. 11 NYCRR 65-3.5(a) provides that "within 10 business days after receipt" of an NF-2 form,

an insurer shall forward verification forms to those required to complete the same. 11 NYCRR

65-3.5(b) provides that "[s]ubsequent to the receipt of one or more of the completed verification

forms, any additional verification required by the insurer to establish proof of claim shall be

requested within 15 business days of receipt of the prescribed verification forms" and, pursuant to

11 NYCRR 65-3.5(d), "[i]f the additional verification required by the insurer is a medical

examination, the insurer shall schedule the examination to be held within 30 calendar days from

the date of receipt of the prescribed verification forms."

In order for a plaintiff to obtain a judgment declaring that no coverage exists due to the

failure of a claimant to appear for an IME, an insurer must prove that it complied with these strict

procedures and time frames. American Transit Ins. Co. v Vance, 131 AD3d 849 (1st Dept 2015);

American Tr. Ins. Co. v Longevity Med. Supply, Inc., 131 AD3d 841 (1st Dept 2015); National

153030/2017 AMERICAN TRANSIT INSURANCE vs. MORALES, CAROLINA Motion No. 001

Page 4 of 6

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/01/2018 02:46 PM INDEX NO. 153030/2017

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 24

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018

Liab. & Fire Ins. Co. v Tam Med. Supply Corp., 131 AD3d 851 (1st Dept 2015). However, ATIC

has failed to make this showing here.

Although ATIC submits the claimant's application for no-fault benefits, which it received

on April 11, 2016, (Doc. 11), it did not demand that the claimant appear for an IME until September

9, 2016, (Doc. 12), well after the 30-day period prescribed by 11 NYCRR 65-3.5(d).

Further, although ATIC submits affidavits in support of the motion, including two from its

own employees, it fails to provide proof that the claimant was treated by any of the medical

provider defendants, when such treatment occurred, and whether or when the medical provider

defendants filed verifications for reimbursement under the policy. Given the absence of this

information, which is instrumental in determining whether ATIC has complied with the time

frames and procedures set forth in the no-fault regulations, it has failed to establish the facts

constituting its claim that it is entitled to a declaration that it is not obligated to provide coverage

to the claimant and the medical provider defendants.

Therefore, in light of the foregoing, it is hereby:

153030/2017 AMERICAN TRANSIT INSURANCE vs. MORALES, CAROLINA Motion No. 001

Page 5 of 6

5 of 6

INDEX NO. 153030/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018

ORDERED that the motion by plaintiff American Transit Insurance Company is denied; and it is further

ORDERED that this constitutes the decision and order of the court.

9/28/2018 DATE				CKATHRYN E. FREI	€ D, .	J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED	ſ	х	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION		
	GRANTED X DE	ENIED		GRANTED IN PART		OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER			SUBMIT ORDER		-
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASS	SIGN		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT		REFERENCE

153030/2017 AMERICAN TRANSIT INSURANCE vs. MORALES, CAROLINA Motion No. 001

Page 6 of 6