Wikked Entertainment, Inc. v Burbacki

2019 NY Slip Op 30329(U)

February 13, 2019

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 652352/2018

Judge: Andrew Borrok

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 26

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/13/2019

INDEX NO. 652352/2018

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT:	HON. ANDREW BORROK		PART IA	IAS MOTION 53EFM	
		Justice			
		X	INDEX NO.	652352/2018	
WIKKED ENTE	ERTAINMENT, INC., STELLA STOLPER		MOTION DATE		
	Plaintiff,		MOTION SEQ. NO.	001	
	- V -				
ZARINA BURE	BACKI, YONATAN SHIMRONY,				
	Defendant.		DECISION AND ORDER		
		X			
*	oregoing documents and for the			record (2/13/19	

- A. Armstrong, Ct. Reporter) and as otherwise set forth below:
- 1. The motion to dismiss the first cause of action (conversion) is denied. The allegations set forth in the complaint are that two conversions took place. One conversion relates to \$125,000. No credible dispositive documentary evidence is offered to refute this allegation and the complaint otherwise states a cause of action. The second involves \$150,000 which was later allegedly removed from the account. The facts alleged are that \$500,000 was in the escrow account. Ms. Burbacki returned \$350,000 and withheld or distributed \$150,000 to another party without permission. The documentary evidence submitted demonstrates that Ms. Burbacki reported that there was only \$350,000 in the account and that such amount had, in fact, been transferred. No bank statements, flow of funds or other evidence has been provided to utterly refute the claim for the conversion of \$150,000. To the extent that an email is produced from Ms. Stolper to Rosemary Mathawossian where Ms. Stolper says that this is all that it left in the account, it is insufficient to refute the claim. It may be that Ms. Stolper is indicating that this is all that is left in the account because Ms. Burbacki has absconded or converted \$150,000.
- 2. The motion to dismiss the second cause of action (tortious interference) is granted without prejudice. Tortious interference requires (1) a business relationship with a 3rd party, (2) the defendant knew of the relationship with intentional interference, (3) the defendant acted out of malice or used improper means that amount to a crime or an independent tort and (4) the defendant caused

652352/2018 WIKKED ENTERTAINMENT, INC. vs. BURBACKI, ZARINA

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 26

INDEX NO. 652352/2018

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/13/2019

injury. Amaranth LLC v JP Morgan Chase & Co., 71 AD3d 40, 47 (1st Dept 2009).

Where tortious interference is based on a defamatory statement, pleading in accordance with CPLR 3016 is required. To wit, it is necessary to allege the time, place and manner of the false statement and to whom it was made. Dillon v City of NY, 261 AD2d 34, 38 (1st Dept 1999). The complaint contains mere general allegations, and most significantly, does not properly identify the business relationship or otherwise allege to whom it was made.

- 3. The motion to dismiss the third cause of action (breach of duty, based on the conversion) is denied at this stage of the pleading given the alleged attorney/client relationship.
- 4. The motion to dismiss the fourth cause of action (breach of duty, based on business opportunity) is granted without prejudice as there simply are insufficient facts to make out a breach of duty as it relates to business opportunities.
- 5. The motion to dismiss the fifth cause of action (unjust enrichment) is granted. This is duplicative of the first cause of action.
- 6. The motion to dismiss the sixth cause of action (defamation) is granted without prejudice. There are insufficient facts plead to make out a claim of defamation, given the heightened pleading requirements of CPLR 3016 (i.e., among other deficiencies, no one is specifically identified as having heard or been affected by the alleged defamatory statement).
- 7. The motion to dismiss the seventh cause of action (violation of 18 USC 2701) is granted. It is clear from the documentary evidence that Ms. Stolper granted unfettered access to her email account and asked Ms. Burbecki to do searches for certain information for her and asked them to change the password. In addition, the complaint does not sufficiently allege damages.
- 8. The motion to dismiss the eighth cause of action (Cal. Penal Code 630) is granted without prejudice. Among other deficiencies, the complaint simply does not allege that any conduct took place in California (i.e., the complaint refers to a call in Connecticut) and no authority is offered for the proposition that a violation of the California penal law may be brought as a cause of action in a New York state court action.

652352/2018 WIKKED ENTERTAINMENT, INC. vs. BURBACKI, ZARINA

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 26

INDEX NO. 652352/2018

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/13/2019

9. The motion to dismiss the common law claim of invasion of privacy is granted. Nothing in the complaint indicates that California law should govern this case and in New York this claim is not recognized. *Messenger v Gruner+Jahr Printing & Publ'g*, 94 NY2d 436 (2000).

- 10. The motion to dismiss the tenth cause of action (accounting) is denied as there is an issue as to the amount of money that was in the client trust account.
- 11. The motion to dismiss the eleventh cause of action (malpractice) is denied at this stage of the pleading because, among other things, of the allegations regarding the unauthorized withdrawals from the client escrow account and the potential handling of matters beyond her level of expertise without appropriate engagement of co-counsel and/or consultation with attorneys with specific expertise.
- 12. Insofar as the defendants moved to have the complaint dismissed with respect to Wikked, the motion is granted without prejudice as none of the allegations appear to relate to Wikked.
- 13. For the avoidance of doubt, as none of the allegations appear to relate to Mr. Shimrony other than a conclusory allegation that he "aided and abetted" certain causes of action which were otherwise dismissed above, the action is dismissed without prejudice as against Mr. Shimrony.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion to dismiss is granted to the extent that the second, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth and ninth causes of action are dismissed; and it is further

ORDERED that accordingly the complaint is dismissed in its entirety as against Yonotan Shimrony with costs and disbursements to said defendant as taxed by the Clerk of the Court, and the Clerk is directed to enter judgment accordingly in favor of said defendant; and it is further

ORDERED that the action is severed and continued against the remaining defendant; and it is further

ORDERED that the remaining defendant is directed to serve an answer to the complaint within 20 days after service of a copy of this order with notice of entry; and it is further

ORDERED that the caption be amended to reflect the dismissal and that all future papers filed with the court bear the amended caption as follows:

652352/2018 WIKKED ENTERTAINMENT, INC. vs. BURBACKI, ZARINA

Page 3 of 4

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 02/13/2019 01:52 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 26

INDEX NO. 652352/2018

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/13/2019

Stella Stolper,

Plaintiff,

-against-

Zarina Burbacki,

Defendant.

and it is further,

ORDERED that counsel for the moving party shall serve a copy of this order with notice of entry upon the Clerk of the Court (60 Centre Street, Room 141B) and the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office (60 Centre Street, Room 119), who are directed to mark the court's records to reflect the change in the caption herein; and it is further

ORDERED that such service upon the Clerk of the Court and the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *Protocol on Courthouse* and County Clerk Procedures for Electronically Filed Cases (accessible at the "E-Filing" page on the court's website at the address www.nycourts.gov/supctmanh)].

Preliminary Conference: March 11, 2019 at 11:30 am.

		20	19021313522 9ABO RROKCC85420E0) C913418B93 96358796FA579E
2/13/2019		7 (
DATE			ANDREW BORR	OK, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED	х	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	
	GRANTED	DENIED X	GRANTED IN PART	OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER		SUBMIT ORDER	_
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFE	R/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE