Lorimer v Board of Mgrs. of one Madison Ave. Condominium

2019 NY Slip Op 33096(U)

October 17, 2019

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 158870/2015

Judge: Paul A. Goetz

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/17/2019 04:30 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 77

INDEX NO. 1588/0/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/17/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. PAUL A. GOETZ	PART	IAS MOTION 47EFN	
	Justice			
MARY LORIMER,		INDEX NO.	158870/2015	
		MOTION DATE	10/10/2019	
	Plaintiff,	MOTION SEQ. NO	o. 002	
	- V -		-	
BOARD OF MANAGERS OF ONE MADISON AVENUE CONDOMINIUM, SL GREEN REALTY CORP., SL GREEN MANAGEMENT LLC,MI NY CLOCK TOWER, LLC,CREDIT SUISSE (USA), INC.,CUSHMAN & WAKEFIELD, INC.,CUSHMAN & WAKEFIELD CLEANING SERVICES, INC.,CUSHMAN & WAKEFIELD FACILITIES MANAGEMENT, INC.			DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION	
	Defendant.			
	X			
The following 44, 45, 46, 47, 72, 73, 74, 75,	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document r, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 6, 76	number (Motion 002) 51, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66	39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 6, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71,	
were read on t	this motion to/forJ	UDGMENT - SUMM	ARY	
Plaint	iff Mary Lorimer alleges that she was injured	on May 15, 2014, v	when she slipped	
and fell on wa	ater in the lobby of 1 Madison Avenue at appro	oximately 12:50 pr	m. Defendants SL	
Green Realty	Corp., SL Green Management LLC, the owner	rs of the building,	and defendant	
Credit Suisse	(USA), Inc., the lessee, now move pursuant to	CPLR 3212 for su	ımmary judgment	
seeking dismi	issal of the complaint based on lack of actual o	or constructive notion	ce of the alleged	
defective cond	dition of the lobby floor. The SL Green defend	lants also move for	dismissal on the	
ground that th	ney are an out of possession landlord with no re	esponsibility for m	aintaining the	
premises, whi	ich plaintiff does not oppose. Defendants Cush	ıman & Wakefield,	Inc., Cushman &	

158870/2015 LORIMER, MARY vs. BOARD OF MANAGERS OF ONE Motion No. 002

Page 1 of 4

Wakefield Cleaning Services, Inc. and Cushman & Wakefield Facilities Management, Inc., the

management company, cross-moves for dismissal of the complaint and any cross-claims asserted

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/17/2019 04:30 PM

NVSCEE DOC NO 77

INDEX NO. 158870/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/17/2019

against them based on lack of actual and constructive notice and rely on the movants' papers to support their motion.

On a motion for summary judgment based on lack of notice, defendant has the initial burden of making a prima facie showing that it neither created the hazardous condition nor had actual or constructive notice of its existence. *Infante v. Jerome Car Wash*, 52 A.D.3d 319, 320 (1st Dep't 2008). To meet its prima facie burden on the issue of constructive notice in a slip-and-fall case, a defendant must offer some evidence as to when the area in question was last cleaned or inspected relative to the time when the plaintiff fell. *Hobbs v. New York City Hous. Auth.*, 168 A.D.3d 634 (1st Dep't 2019) (citing *Gautier v. 941 Intervale Realty LLC*, 108 A.D.3d 481 [1st Dep't 2013]). Reference to general cleaning practices is insufficient to establish a lack of constructive notice. *Gautier*, 108 A.D.3d at 481.

Here, defendants have failed to meet this burden. With respect to actual notice, defendants did not submit any evidence that they lacked actual notice of the condition, by, for example, showing that they did not receive any complaints about the defect prior to the incident and that there were no similar accidents at the subject location. *Clarkin v. In Line Restaurant Corp.*, 148 A.D.3d 559, 560 (1st Dep't 2017). Likewise, defendants failed to meet their burden on the issue of constructive notice as they failed to offer any evidence as to when the subject area was last inspected or cleaned. *Hobbs*, 168 A.D.3d at 635.

Defendants argue that it is unnecessary for them to submit evidence regarding lack of constructive notice since plaintiff does not know how long the water was on the floor prior to her fall and any argument that the water was there for a sufficient time such that defendants should have been aware of it, would be speculative. *See Early v. Hilton Hotels Corp.*, 73 A.D.3d 559, 562 (1st Dep't 2010). However, unlike the cases cited by defendants, here, defendants have

158870/2015 LORIMER, MARY vs. BOARD OF MANAGERS OF ONE Motion No. 002

Page 2 of 4

COUNTY CLERK

INDEX NO. 158870/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/17/2019

failed to meet their burden with respect to the issue of lack of actual notice of the condition. Id. at 561. Moreover, plaintiff has submitted sufficient evidence to create an issue of fact regarding whether there was any precipitation outside prior to her accident such that defendants would have constructive notice of a wet condition on the floor. Affirmation of Edward Cohn dated July 18, 2019, Exhs. E and F (climate reports showing small amounts of precipitation on date of the accident), and Exh. K (video of the lobby on the date of incident showing some people carrying umbrellas and caution signs out on the floor). Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the motion and the cross-motion for summary judgment are denied except that all claims and cross-claims against defendants SL Green Realty Corp. and SL Green Management LLC are dismissed, and the Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly with costs and disbursements awarded to said defendants; and it is further

ORDERED that the action is severed and continued against the remaining defendants; and it is further

ORDERED that the caption shall be amended to reflect the dismissal of defendants SL Green Realty Corp. and SL Green Management; and it is further

ORDERED that counsel for the moving party shall serve a copy of this order with notice of entry upon the Clerk of the Court (60 Centre Street, Room 141B) and the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office (60 Centre Street, Room 119), who are directed to mark the court's records to reflect the change in the caption herein; and it is further

ORDERED that such service upon the Clerk of the Court and the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Protocol on Courthouse and County Clerk Procedures for Electronically Filed Cases (accessible at the "E-Filing" page on the court's website at the address www.nycourts.gov/supctmanh)].

158870/2015 LORIMER, MARY vs. BOARD OF MANAGERS OF ONE Motion No. 002

Page 3 of 4

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/17/2019 04:30 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 77

INDEX NO. 158870/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/17/2019

DATE

CHECK ONE:

CASE DISPOSED

GRANTED

DENIED

APPLICATION:

CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:

CHEC