Barnes v Barnes
2019 NY Slip Op 33774(U)
December 31, 2019
Supreme Court, New York County
Docket Number: 151490/2014

Judge: Paul A. Goetz

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 67

INDEX NO. 151490/2014

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. PAUL A. GOETZ		PART I	AS MOTION 47EFN
		Justice		
		X	INDEX NO.	151490/2014
BRYANT BARNES, MICHAEL BARNES,			MOTION DATE	12/17/2019
	Plaintiff,		MOTION SEQ. NO	. 004
	- V -			
SANDRA BARNES, JOAN BARNES-WARE, HAROLD BARNES, JEFFREY BARNES			DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION	
	Defendant.			
		X		
The following 65, 66	e-filed documents, listed by NY	SCEF document nu	mber (Motion 004)	60, 61, 62, 63, 64,
were read on	this motion to/for	VACATE - DECI	SION/ORDER/JUD	GMENT/AWARD.

Plaintiffs commenced this action seeking a court ordered partition and sale of real property located at 118 West 121st Street, New York, New York, which is a multi-unit residential building. By order dated July 1, 2015, this court granted plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment on the complaint. However, the sale of the property did not occur pursuant to the order and, instead, the parties engaged in discovery regarding the management of the premises. After the court granted plaintiffs' motion for a default judgment against defendant Harold Barnes on October 26, 2018, the plaintiffs and the non-defaulting defendants entered into a so-ordered stipulation dated November 14, 2018, to list the property for sale with Keller Williams Realty NYC Group initially for \$2.8 million but no less than \$2.4 million. Plaintiffs now move to vacate the so-ordered stipulation of settlement, alleging that they cannot sell the property for anywhere near this price as defendant Harold Barnes is not permitting access to the interior of the building to potential buyers. Plaintiffs also seek an order for the judicial sale of the premises and the

Page 1 of 6

INDEX NO. 151490/2014

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

appointment of a referee to divide the sales proceeds among the parties. The motion is unopposed.

It is well-established that as with contracts, "courts should not disturb a valid stipulation absent a showing of good cause such as fraud, collusion, mistake or duress." McCoy v. Feinman, 99 N.Y.2d 295 (2002). Although it is not specified in the moving papers, one possible reason to vacate the stipulation at issue here is on the basis of mutual mistake. In general, in order to vacate a stipulation of settlement on the basis of a mutual mistake, the moving party must show that the mistake existed at the time the stipulation was entered that was so substantial that it prevented a meeting of the minds. See M.W. v. New York City Health and Hospitals Corp., 303 A.D.2d 725 (2d Dep't 2003). Here, plaintiffs have met this burden by showing that they mistakenly assumed that they would receive a much higher price for the premises since they did not anticipate that defendant Harold Barnes, who lives in one of the apartments, would not permit potential buyers to view the interior of the building. Affidavit of Jamal Hadi sworn to on December 2, 2019. According to the parties' broker, such refusal severely depressed the potential sale price of the building by at least \$500,000. Id. Accordingly, plaintiffs' application to vacate the stipulation of settlement will be granted.

Further, pursuant to the court's order dated July 1, 2015 granting plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment, plaintiffs are entitled to an interlocutory judgment under Real Property and Proceedings Law § 915, determining the rights of the parties in the property and directing its sale at public auction. Accordingly, it is

ADJUDGED that the plaintiffs and defendants are tenants in common of the premises in question in this action, which is as follows: 118 West 121st Street, New York, New York 10028, designated as Borough: Manhattan (1), Block 1905, Lot 142; and it is further

Page 2 of 6

NYSCEE DOC NO 67

INDEX NO. 151490/2014

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

ADJUDGED that the rights, interests, and shares of the plaintiffs and defendants in and to the said premises are as follows: the plaintiffs are seized of and entitled in fee to an undivided onetenth interest each in the premises described above and the defendants are seized of and entitled in

fee to an undivided one-fifth interest each in said premises; and it is further

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the said property cannot be physically partitioned without great prejudice to the owners and therefore shall be sold as one parcel at a public auction; and it is further

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the proceeds of the sale shall be utilized first to pay the costs and expenses of the sale and the balance thereof shall then be divided among the parties in accordance with the rights, interests, and shares of the parties as herein set forth; and it is further

ORDERED that the Referee named hereinafter shall hold the two amounts representing the parties' portions of the balance of the proceeds of the sale pending further order of this court; and it is further

ORDERED that the sale shall be conducted by and under the direction of Judith Constance Aarons, Esq. (Fid. Id. 647198), Cohen Hochman & Allen, 75 Maiden Lane, Suite 802, New York, New York 10038, who is hereby appointed Referee for that purpose; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 36.1 of Part 36 of the Rules of the Chief Judge, this appointment shall be subject to said Part 36; and it is further

ORDERED that, by accepting this appointment, the Referee certifies that he/she is in compliance with Part 36, including Section 36.2 (d) ("Limitations on appointments based upon compensation"), but if she is disqualified from receiving such appointment pursuant to the provisions of Part 36, she shall notify this court forthwith; and it is further

Page 3 of 6

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 67

INDEX NO. 151490/2014

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

ORDERED that, by accepting this appointment, the Referee certifies that she is familiar with the duties and responsibilities of a Referee to Sell, has experience in such area, and is fully

capable of assuming, and prepared to assume, those duties and responsibilities, which are

commensurate with her abilities; and it is further

ORDERED that attorneys or support staff in the appointee's office may perform tasks

under the appointee's direct supervision [unless otherwise directed by the court], but all

substantive appearances and reports must be made, performed, and created by the appointee; and

it is further

ORDERED that, upon receipt of this order and UCS Form 872 (Notice of Appointment

and Certification of Compliance), the Referee shall complete, execute, and return the Form 872 to

the Fiduciary Clerk [NOTE: do not include this paragraph in cases in which, pursuant to Section

36.4, the appointee is not required to file the Notice and Certification (e.g., referees to sell whose

compensation is anticipated to be less than \$ 750)]; and it is further

ORDERED that, if it is anticipated that the Referee's fees will exceed \$750, the Referee

must apply [by letter] to the court for approval of such fees and the Referee shall comply with

Section 36.4 before such fees can be paid; and it is further

ORDERED that the fees of the Referee, if in excess of \$750, shall be paid only upon receipt

of an order by the court authorizing such payment; and it is further

ORDERED that the closing of title shall take place at the office of the Referee, or at such

other location as the Referee shall determine, within forty-five (45) days after such sale unless

otherwise stipulated by all parties; that the Referee shall transfer title only to the successful bidder

at the auction; and that any delay in the closing or adjournment of the closing date beyond forty-

five (45) days may be stipulated among the parties, with the Referee's consent, up to ninety (90)

4 of 6

Page 4 of 6

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 67

INDEX NO. 151490/2014

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

days from the date of sale, but any delay or adjournment beyond ninety (90) days may be set only

with the approval of this court; and it is further

ORDERED that the Referee shall give public notice of the time and place scheduled for

the conduct of the auction sale, according to law and the practices of this court, and that the parties

hereto may purchase at the sale; and it is further

ORDERED that the sale shall be conducted on the following terms, public notice of which

shall be given by the Referee in the New York Law Journal; and it is further

ORDERED that promptly after the conclusion of said sale, in accordance with law, the

Referee shall file with the Clerk of the Court a report of the sale and all of the Referee's

proceedings in connection therewith; and it is further

ORDERED that such filing with the Clerk of the Court shall be made in accordance with

the procedures set forth in the Protocol on Courthouse and County Clerk Procedures for

Electronically Filed Cases (accessible at the "E-Filing" page on the court's website at the address

www.nycourts.gov/supctmanh)]; and it is further

ORDERED that, after the sale shall have been confirmed by this court and final judgment

entered, the Referee shall execute and deliver a deed or deeds of the premises sold to the

purchaser(s) at the sale, upon compliance with the conditions for the delivery thereof; and it is

further

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the purchaser or purchasers of the property or any part

thereof at the auction sale shall be let into possession, and each person upon whom this judgment

is binding who may be in possession of the property, or any part thereof, shall deliver possession

thereof to the purchaser or purchasers on production of the Referee's deed and, on demand of the

purchaser or purchasers, the parties hereto shall deliver thereto all deeds, titles, or writings under

Page 5 of 6

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 67

INDEX NO. 151490/2014

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

the control of such party that relate to ownership of the property purchased by the purchaser or to any part thereof.

12/31/19		
DATE	· ·	PAUL A. GOETZ, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED X X GRANTED DENIED	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION GRANTED IN PART OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN X	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE