Arthur v 1809-15 7th Ave. Hous. Dev. Fund Corp.

2019 NY Slip Op 33792(U)

December 31, 2019

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 653800/2015

Judge: Paul A. Goetz

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/03/2020 10:33 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 343

INDEX NO. 653800/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. PAUL A. GOETZ	PARI	45 MOTION 4/EF
*	Justice		
±	X	INDEX NO.	653800/2015
NORA ART	HUR,	MOTION DATE	12/19/2019
	Plaintiff,	MOTION SEQ. NO	006
	- V -		
CORPORAT FEDERAL S MASTER FU 01, LLC, JOH	H AVENUE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND TION, MICHAEL MASCHCIO, CARVER SAVINGS BANK, WATERFALL VICTORIA UND LTD., WATERFALL VICTORIA REO 2013- HN DOE, JANE DOE, XYZ CORPORATION I, ORATION II,	DECISION + Mot	ORDER ON TION
	Defendant.		
	Х		
185, 186, 187 206, 207, 208	g e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document nu 7, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 3, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 224, 2 5, 239, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 3	198, 199, 200, 201, 2 225, 226, 227, 228, 2	202, 203, 204, 205, 229, 230, 231, 232,
were read on	this motion to/for	STRIKE PLEADING	S .

Plaintiff Nora Arthur, who previously resided in a cooperative apartment located at 1809-15 7th Avenue, Apt #4F, New York, New York, commenced this action in 2015 to obtain redress for allegedly unlawful eviction proceedings brought by defendants 1809-15 7th Avenue Housing Development Fund Corporation and Michael Maschcio (HDFC Defendants) based on non-payment of maintenance fees and against defendants Carver Federal Savings Bank, Waterfall Victoria Master Fund Ltd. and Waterfall Victoria REO 2013-01 (Lender Defendants) for an allegedly invalid foreclosure and sale which occurred on June 4, 2014. The Lender Defendants move pursuant to CPLR 3126 for an order striking plaintiff's claims against them based on plaintiff's alleged failure to comply with her discovery obligations or, alternatively, compelling a date certain by which plaintiff must produce all outstanding discovery. The Lender Defendants

653800/2015 ARTHUR, NORA vs. 1809-15 7TH AVENUE HOUSING Motion No. 006

Page 1 of 4

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/03/2020 10:33 AM

NVSCEE DOC NO 343

INDEX NO. 653800/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

also seek an order lifting the current injunction staying the HDFC Defendants from evicting plaintiff unless plaintiff escrows on a monthly basis funds equal to her monthly mortgage, maintenance and tax payments. Plaintiff cross-moves for a stay of this case until the New York City Human Resources Administration's Adult Protective Services Program ("APS") completes its evaluation for an Article 81 guardianship for plaintiff.

By order dated July 12, 2018, this court held the motion and cross-motion in abeyance pending the evaluation and subsequent appointment of a *guardian ad litem* for plaintiff. By order dated December 30, 2019, a *guardian ad litem* was finally appointed for plaintiff for the limited purpose of assisting with collecting documents and with settlement discussions. Pursuant to the court's order dated October 24, 2019, the parties filed supplemental papers in connection with this motion.

With respect to the Lender Defendants' motion for sanctions based on plaintiff's alleged failure to respond to the Lender Defendants' document demands and interrogatories from October and December 2017, the Lender Defendants have failed to show that plaintiff's conduct was willful and contumacious so as to warrant this drastic remedy. Plaintiff was confined to a nursing home in Pennsylvania from approximately August 2017 to April 2018 and after she was released, plaintiff's counsel immediately endeavored to obtain documents from her. Affirmation of Ali Aghazadeh dated June 21, 2018. However, plaintiff was subsequently hospitalized at St. Luke's hospital and as of May 2018, plaintiff was sent to a long-term nursing home, where she currently resides. Affirmation of Elizabeth Lynch dated June 21, 2018, ¶ 9; Affidavit of Gokul Krish sworn to on November 22, 2019. Plaintiff's deteriorating health condition and her continued admittance to hospital and nursing home care have impaired her ability to provide further responses to the Lender Defendants' document demands. Although the Lender

Page 2 of 4

INDEX NO. 653800/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

Defendants claim that plaintiff's granddaughter, Keyara Hunt, lives in the apartment and has at times assisted with plaintiff's care and with allowing plaintiff's counsel to access plaintiff's apartment to gather documents, she could not be relied upon to consistently provide assistance with this case, particularly given that plaintiff's counsel had a lot of difficulty even reaching her. Affirmation of Ali Nani dated November 22, 2019. Given these circumstances, and plaintiff's attempts to comply with the discovery demands, plaintiff's conduct cannot be deemed willful and contumacious so as to warrant sanctions under CPLR 3126. See Casanas v. Carlei Group, LLC, 149 A.D.3d 515 (1st Dep't 2017). To the extent that the Lender Defendants claim that plaintiff's responses remain deficient, these issues must be addressed at the next status conference and may be remedied promptly now that plaintiff has been assigned a guardian ad litem who will assist in gathering documents and responding to discovery requests.

With respect to the Lender Defendants' request to lift the injunction unless plaintiff escrows on a monthly basis funds equal to her monthly mortgage, maintenance and tax payments, the Lender Defendants fail to provide a basis for this relief. The court's injunction order was directed to the HDFC Defendants, and not to the Lender Defendants, and thus they have no standing to demand additional escrow payments. Finally, plaintiff's cross-motion for a stay is denied as moot since a guardian ad litem has been appointed for plaintiff. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Lender Defendants' motion and the plaintiff's cross-motion are denied; and it is further

ORDERED that the parties shall appear for a status conference on February 6, 2020 at 9:30 am to discuss outstanding discovery issues.

Page 3 of 4

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/03/2020 10:33 AM
NYSCEF DOC. NO. 343

INDEX NO. 653800/2015

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/03/2020

12/31/19		/aul atto	-
DATE	 ,	PAUL A. GOETZ, J.S.C.	
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED GRANTED X DENIED	X NON-FINAL DISPOSITION GRANTED IN PART OTHER	
APPLICATION: CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	SETTLE ORDER INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	SUBMIT ORDER FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFEREN	1CE