## Fifth Quadrant Analytics, LLC v Donovan

2020 NY Slip Op 31843(U)

June 8, 2020

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 654893/2018

Judge: Debra A. James

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op <u>30001(</u>U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. DEBRA A. JAMES	PART	IAS MOTION 59EFM
Justice		
X	INDEX NO.	654893/2018
FIFTH QUADRANT ANALYTICS, LLC and BRIAN DAWSON,	MOTION DATE	
Petitioners,	MOTION SEQ.	NO. 001 002
- V -		
RICHARD DONOVAN,		N + ORDER ON OTION
Respondent.		
X		
The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document nur 29, 30, 31	mber (Motion 00	1) 3, 7, 8, 26, 27, 28,
	SAPPROVE AV	VARD/REPORT .
The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 002) 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25   were read on this motion to/for DISMISSAL		
ORDER		
Upon the foregoing documents, it is		
ORDERED that respondent's motion to dismiss is DENIED; and		
it is further		
ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the petit	cion is gr	canted and the
award is confirmed; and it is further		
ADJUDGED and DECLARED that Petitione	er Brian D	awson owns 49%
of the equity ownership of WingSail and F	ifth Quadr	ant Analytics,
LLC; and it is further		
ORDERED that Richard Donovan is ord	ered to n	otify Barclays
within 15 days of the issuance of this	Award that	t the Barclays

654893/2018 FIFTH QUADRANT ANALYTICS, LLC vs. DONOVAN, RICHARD Page 1 of 4 Motion No. 001 002

WITHIN IS ASKE OF THE REGISTICE OF THIS AWARD THAT THE REPORTS

Agreements are to be amended to include Fifth Quadrant Analytics, LLC as a party; and it is further

ORDERED that any communications under the Barclays Agreements to or from Richard Donovan or Donovan Group are to be sent simultaneously to Fifth Quadrant Analytics, LLC, and any payments made by Barclays under the Barclays Agreements are to divided equally between Donovan Group and Fifth Quadrant Analytics, LLC; and it is further

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that petitioner Fifth Quadrant Analytics, LLC, does recover from respondent Richard Donovan, the amount of \$ 79,355.70, plus interest at the rate of 6 % per annum from the date of July 26, 2017, as computed by the Clerk in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_; and it is further

ADJUDGED that petitioners do recover from respondent the amount of \$ 59,250.06, plus interest at the statutory rate from the date of the entry of judgment as computed by the Clerk in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_, representing such part of the administrative fees and expenses of arbitration in excess of the apportioned fees and expenses previously incurred and paid by petitioners, together with costs and disbursements in the amount of  $$ ______$  as taxed by the Clerk, for the total amount of  $$ _______$ , and that the petitioners have execution therefor.

Page 2 of 4

## 2 of 4

## DECISION

Petitioners move to confirm an arbitration award dated July 26, 2017. Respondent pro se moves to dismiss the petition.

CPLR 7510 provides that the "court shall confirm an award upon application of a party made within one year after its delivery to him, unless the award is vacated or modified upon a ground specified in section 7511." The grounds for setting aside "an arbitrator's award as set forth in CPLR 7511(b) are few in number and are narrowly applied. Only if a party's rights were prejudiced by corruption, fraud or misconduct (CPLR 7511[b][1] [i]), bias (subpara. [ii]), excess of power (subpara [iii]) or procedural defects (subpara [iv]) should an award be vacated. For the same reason, the invocation of public policy concerns to justify a court's usurping the role of an arbitrator and determining a dispute on the merits is also limited." <u>Azrielant v Azrielant</u>, 301 AD2d 269, 275-76 (1<sup>st</sup> Dept., 2002) (citation and internal quotation omitted).

654893/2018 FIFTH QUADRANT ANALYTICS, LLC vs. DONOVAN, RICHARD Motion No. 001 002

Page 3 of 4

While attempting to revive arguments made before the arbitrator, respondent, in opposition to confirmation, fails to raise any of the CPLR 7511(b) grounds. The court shall therefore grant the motion to confirm the award.

