BRL Hampton Rd. LLC v Heather

2020 NY Slip Op 32248(U)

July 10, 2020

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 651511/2019

Judge: Andrea Masley

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/10/2020

INDEX NO. 651511/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

| PRESENT: | HON. ANDREA MASLEY | | PART | IAS MOTION 48EFM |
|--|--|------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Justice | | |
| | | X | INDEX NO. | 651511/2019 |
| BRL HAMPT | TON ROAD LLC, | | | |
| | Plaintiff, | | MOTION DATE | |
| | i idilidii, | | MOTION SEQ. N | o 004 |
| | - V - | | | |
| ASHLEY HE | EATHER, SPUR INNOVATION, INC.,630 ROAD LLC, | | | + ORDER ON OTION |
| | Defendant. | | | |
| and the state from the later and the rest side was the later than the later with the rest. | | X | | |
| ASHLEY HE | ATHER, SPUR INNOVATION, INC., 630 ROAD LLC |) | | ird-Party . 595831/2019 |
| | Plaintiff, | | | |
| | -against- | | | |
| BRUCE LEV | ViN | | | |
| | Defendant. | X | | |
| | e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF down, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 | ocument nu | mber (Motion 004 |) 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, |
| were read on | this motion to/for | JL | DGMENT - DEFA | ULT |
| | | | | |

Masley, J.:

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 85

In motion sequence number 004, plaintiff BRL Hampton Road LLC moves to strike the answer, defenses, and counterclaim of defendants Spur Innovation, Inc. and 630 Hampton Road LLC (630 Hampton) (collectively, the Corporate Defendants) and for entry of a judgment in favor of plaintiff against 630 Hampton on plaintiff's first cause of action in the amount of \$1,000,000, plus interest at 9% per annum from May 18, 2018 to NYSCEF DOC. NO. 85

INDEX NO. 651511/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/10/2020

present and costs and disbursements. Plaintiff also moves, pursuant to CPLR 2111(d)¹, to reargue this court's order dated January 23, 2020, modifying the order to release the funds held in escrow by plaintiff's attorney. This motion is not opposed by the Corporate Defendants. Defendant Ashley Heather opposes the release of the escrow funds only.

On January 23, 2020, this court issued an order granting defense counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel (NYSCEF Doc. No. [NYSCEF] 58, January 23, 2020 Decision and Order). In that order, the Corporate Defendants were directed to retain counsel, in accordance with CPLR 321 and New York Limited Liability Company Law, within 10 days (*id.* at 2). If the Corporate Defendants did not comply, their answer could be stricken (*id.*). To date, counsel has not been retained. Thus, the portion of plaintiff's motion seeking to strike the Corporate Defendants' answer, including defenses and counterclaim, is granted.

CPLR 3215 (a) provides that "[w]hen a defendant has failed to appear, plead or proceed to trial of an action reached and called for trial, or when the court orders a dismissal for any other neglect to proceed, the plaintiff may seek a default judgment against him." "[S]triking an answer is the equivalent of a default in answering, and a plaintiff's right to recover upon a defendant's default in answering is governed by CPLR 3215" (Jones v Corley, 35 AD3d 381, 381 [2d Dept 2006] [citations omitted]).

"On a motion for a default judgment under CPLR 3215 based upon a failure to answer the complaint, a plaintiff demonstrates entitlement to a default judgment against

¹ Plaintiff's counsel cites this section of the CPLR in the Notice of Motion; however, CPLR 2221 (d) governs motions to reargue.

^{651511/2019} BRL HAMPTON ROAD LLC vs. HEATHER, ASHLEY Motion No. 004

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

COUNTY CLERK 07/10/2020 12:56 PM

INDEX NO. 651511/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/10/2020

a defendant by submitting: (1) proof of service of the summons and complaint; (2) proof of the facts constituting its claim; and (3) proof of the defendant's default in answering or appearing" (Medina v Sheng Hui Realty LLC, 2018 WL 2136441, *6-7 [Sup Ct, NY County 2018] [citations omitted]). "CPLR 3215 (f) requires that an applicant for a default judgment file proof by affidavit made by the [moving] party of the facts constituting the claim" (Woodson v Mendon Leasing Corp., 100 NY2d 62, 70 [2003]). The movant may, however, file a "verified complaint instead of the affidavit when the complaint has been properly served" and, because "the [movant] does not have the benefit of discovery, the affidavit or verified complaint need only allege enough facts to enable a court to 3 determine that a viable cause of action exists" (id. at 70-71).

Plaintiff has not met its burden of proof. Plaintiff fails to submit an affidavit from a person of knowledge and the amended complaint is not verified. Thus, the portion of this motion seeking a default judgment is denied without prejudice.

Plaintiff also moves to reargue this court's January 23, 2020 order, wherein the court ordered that the \$73,322.00 held by defendants' former counsel be transferred and held in escrow by plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff seeks to modify that order to permit the release of the escrow funds based on a decision by Southampton Village Justice Michael Solomon, Suffolk County (Suffolk Action). In the Suffolk Action, Justice Solomon, after trial, granted plaintiff a judgment of possession and warrant of eviction and a money judgment in the amount \$267,254.97, comprised of the base rent for the months of April 2019, May 2019, June 2019, July 2019, August 2019, September 2019, and October 2019, plus adjustments and allowances (NYSCEF 73, Suffolk Action Trial Decision at 6). In support of its motion, plaintiff submits an affirmation of defendants'

INDEX NO. 651511/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/10/2020

former counsel submitted in this action in support of defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint (NYSCEF 75, Hazin aff). In this affirmation, Hazin affirms that defendant 630 Hampton deposited the April 2019 and May 2019 rent monies into his firm's escrow account; the monthly rent payment amount was \$36,666 (id. at ¶ 11 n. 5).

Defendant Heather submits opposition to this portion of plaintiff's motion; however, Heather cannot represent Hampton 360, and thus, the opposition will not be considered. Nevertheless, even if the court did consider it, Heather's argument that the funds should not be released because they were borrowed by Hampton 360 from other third parties is without merit. As these funds in escrow satisfy a portion of plaintiff's judgment in the Suffolk Action, the funds shall immediately be released. This portion of the motion is granted.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that plaintiff's motion is granted, in part; and it is further

ORDERED that defendants Spur Innovation, Inc. and 630 Hampton Road LLC's answer is stricken; and it is further

ORDERED that plaintiff's counsel is directed to release the \$73,332.00 it holds in

| escrow immediately | to plaintiπ. | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 7/10/2020 | | | _ |
| DATE | | ANDREA MASLEY, J.S.C. | • |
| CHECK ONE: | CASE DISPOSED | X NON-FINAL DISPOSITION | |
| | GRANTED DENIED | X GRANTED IN PART OTHER | |
| APPLICATION: | SETTLE ORDER | SUBMIT ORDER | |
| CHECK IF APPROPRIATE: | INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN | FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE | |

651511/2019 BRL HAMPTON ROAD LLC vs. HEATHER, ASHLEY Motion No. 004

Page 4 of 4