Motor Veh. Acc. Indem. Corp. v Hudson

2020 NY Slip Op 33987(U)

December 4, 2020

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 451356/2017

Judge: Kathryn E. Freed

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 42

INDEX NO. 451356/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2020

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT:	HON. KATHRYN E. FREE	D	PART	IAS MOTION 2EFM		
		Justice				
		X	INDEX NO.	451356/2017		
MOTOR VEI CORPORAT	HICLE ACCIDENT INDEMNIFIC TON,	CATION	MOTION SEQ. NO	002		
	Plaintiff,					
	- V -		DECISION A	ND OPDED		
TRACY E. H	UDSON and LIONEL HUDSON	,	DECISION A	IND ORDER		
	Defendants	S.				
		X				
_	e-filed documents, listed by NY , 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	SCEF document nu	mber (Motion 002)	27, 28, 29, 30, 31,		
were read on this motion to/for VACATE - DECISION/ORDER/JUDGMENT/AWARD						

In this action commenced by plaintiff Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corporation ("MVAIC") to recover monies expended pursuant to Article 51 and 52 of the New York State Insurance Law ("Insurance Law"), defendant Tracy E. Hudson ("Hudson") moves, pro se, by order to show cause ("OSC"), to vacate a default judgment previously entered by this Court against defendants (Docs. 27-28). MVAIC opposes the application (Docs. 29-40). After oral argument, as well as a review of the relevant statutes and case law, the motion is decided as follows.

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND:

The facts of this matter are set forth in detail in the decision and order of this Court entered December 1, 2017 ("the 12/1/17 order"), which granted MVAIC's motion seeking a default

451356/2017 MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT vs. HUDSON, TRACY E Motion No. 002

Page 1 of 6

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 12/04/2020 10:31 AM INDEX NO. 451356/2017

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 42

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2020

judgment against defendants in the amount of \$11,188.64, plus interest from September 1, 2014,

as calculated by the Clerk (Doc. 19). However, a brief summary of the facts is set forth below.

MVAIC, in its role as a corporation created pursuant to Article 52 of the Insurance Law,

has the statutory responsibility of processing claims and compensating innocent victims of motor

vehicle accidents caused by financially irresponsible motorists (Doc. 1) (see generally Motor Veh.

Acc. Indem. Corp. v Hernandez, 2017 NY Slip Op 32516[U], 2017 NY Misc LEXIS 4621, *2 [Sup

Ct, NY County 2017]). Nonparty Edeline Fernandez ("Fernandez") filed a claim with MVAIC in

accordance with Article 51 and 52 of the Insurance Law, alleging injuries and damages as a result

of a September 2014 motor vehicle collision with another vehicle owned by Hudson and operated

by Hudson's son, defendant Lionel Hudson (Doc. 1). Hudson's vehicle was allegedly uninsured at

the time of the accident (Doc. 1). In December 2016, Fernandez's claim with MVAIC was settled

for \$10,000 (Doc. 1).

In May 2017, MVAIC commenced this action against defendants by filing a summons and

complaint (Doc. 1). In its complaint, MVAIC asserted, inter alia, that it was entitled to recover

the \$10,000 it paid to Fernandez pursuant to the settlement agreement, in addition to \$1,188.64

relating to costs incurred for undertaking a review and investigation of the matter (Doc. 1).

Defendants failed to answer or otherwise appear in this action and this Court granted MVAIC's

motion for a default judgment against them in the 12/1/17 order (Doc. 19). On December 4, 2017,

MVAIC served defendants with the 12/1/17 order, with notice of entry (Doc. 22). On December

13, 2017, a judgment for the total amount of \$14,856.49, including interest, costs and

disbursements, was filed with the County Clerk's office (Doc. 26).

In August 2019, Hudson filed the instant application, requesting that the judgment be

vacated on the ground that she was never served with process or notified of this action (Doc. 28).

451356/2017 MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT vs. HUDSON, TRACY E Motion No. 002

Page 2 of 6

2 of 6

COUNTY CLERK 12/04/2020 10:31

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2020

INDEX NO. 451356/2017

In opposition, MVAIC argues, inter alia, that, contrary to Hudson's contention, defendants were properly served pursuant to CPLR 308(4) and that she has otherwise failed to establish a reasonable excuse for her default or the existence of a meritorious defense in this action so as to establish her entitlement to vacatur of the judgment (Doc. 29).

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS:

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 42

Pursuant to CPLR 5015(a)(4), "'a default must be vacated once the movant demonstrates lack of jurisdiction" (Greenwood Realty Co. v Katz, 187 AD3d 1153, 1154 [2d Dept 2020] [emphasis added], quoting Matter of Foreclosure of Tax Liens, 144 AD3d 1033, 1034 [2d Dept 2016]; see CPLR 5015[a][4]) and, under these circumstances, "neither a reasonable excuse nor a meritorious defense need be demonstrated" (Eur. Am. Bank v Legum, 248 AD2d 206, 208 [1st Dept 1998]; see Ortiz v Santiago, 303 AD2d 1, 4 [1st Dept 2003]). However, "it is well established that the affidavit of a process server is *prima facie* evidence of proper service" (Matter of de Sanchez, 57 AD3d 452, 454 [1st Dept 2008] [emphasis added]; see Fourth Ave. Owner's Corp. v Goldstein, 67 Misc 3d 1209[A], 2020 NY Slip Op 50474[U], 2020 NY Misc LEXIS 1566, *2 [Sup Ct, NY County 2020]) and it cannot be rebutted merely by a bare, conclusory denial of service (see Matter of Pasanella v Quinn, 126 AD3d 504, 505 [1st Dept 2015]; De La Barrera v Handler, 290 AD2d 476, 477 [2d Dept 2002]).

Although Hudson represents that she was "never served [with the summons and complaint] or notified about this case" (Doc. 28), this Court determined in its 12/1/17 order that

¹ Although Hudson does not cite to any specific statute in her OSC as the basis for the relief sought, her argument pertains exclusively to a lack of jurisdiction and is therefore governed by CPLR 5015(a)(4) (see generally Bryan v Diawara, 2020 NY Slip Op 30847[U], 2020 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1316, *7 [Sup Ct, Kings County 2020]).

451356/2017 MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT vs. HUDSON, TRACY E Motion No. 002

Page 3 of 6

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 12/04/2020 10:31 AM INDEX

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 42

INDEX NO. 451356/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2020

defendants were properly served with process since an affidavit of service submitted by MVAIC

reflects that Hudson was served by "affix and mail" service after several attempts to serve her

personally at her residence and that MVIAC complied with the additional mailing requirements

(see CPLR 308[4]; Greenwood Realty Co. v Katz, 187 AD3d at 1154). There has been no claim,

much less a showing, that the address in the affidavit of service was not Hudson's residence.

Further, her own affidavit in support of the OSC identifies the same address as her residence and

a search of the Department of Motor Vehicles records, as submitted by MVIAC, confirms the same

(Docs. 28, 38). Since the instant application is devoid of any facts to rebut MVAIC's showing that

Hudson was properly served with the summons and complaint and Hudson only alleges, in

conclusory fashion, that service was not effectuated, she has failed to establish her entitlement to

vacatur of the judgment pursuant to 5015(a)(4) (see Ross v Sunrise Home Improvement, 186 AD3d

633, 634 [2d Dept 2020]; Ortiz v Santiago, 303 AD2d at 4-5).

Hudson asserted at oral argument that the accident was caused by a transit cop and that the

City of New York ("the City") acknowledged responsibility for the September 2014 accident, as

evidenced by the fact that Lionel Hudson was paid by the City in a settlement relating to this

accident. This Court afforded Hudson the opportunity to proffer proof of the alleged settlement

and she emailed a document entitled "General Release" to this Court and opposing counsel. The

document reflects that Lionel Hudson, the claimant named in NYC Comptroller claim number

2015PI001549, was paid \$75,000 by the City in January 2016 in consideration for a full release

and settlement in satisfaction for all damages and injuries, including all claims for costs, expenses,

attorney's fees and disbursements, relating to his claim.

Even considering this untimely argument, and construing this proof as having been

submitted in support of a meritorious defense argument pursuant to CPLR 5015(a)(1), there is no

451356/2017 MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT vs. HUDSON, TRACY E Motion No. 002

Page 4 of 6

4 of 6

PILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 12/04/2020 10:31 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 42

INDEX NO. 451356/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2020

basis on which to vacate the judgment because this Court is unable to ascertain from this document

whether this release and settlement relates to the same September 2014 incident and Hudson has

failed to provide any additional proof regarding the details of Lionel Hudson's claim. Assuming,

arguendo, that there was a potentially meritorious defense, Hudson has nevertheless failed to

establish a reasonable excuse for her default (see Bontempts v Aude Constr. Corp., 98 AD3d 1071,

1072 [2d Dept 2012]; Burnett v Renne, 32 AD3d 449, 450 [2d Dept 2006]). Thus, the application

is denied.

Therefore, in accordance with the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the motion by defendant Tracy E. Hudson, seeking to vacate the judgment rendered by this Court on December 1, 2017 is denied; and it is further

451356/2017 MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT vs. HUDSON, TRACY E Motion No. 002

Page 5 of 6

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 12/04/2020 10:31 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 42

INDEX NO. 451356/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/04/2020

ORDERED that, within 20 days after this decision and order is uploaded to NYSCEF, plaintiff Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corporation shall serve a copy of this decision and order, with notice of entry, upon all parties; and it is further

ORDERED that this constitutes the decision and order of this Court.

12/4/2020	_			2	202 <u>01204103140</u> KE94-000-70848E1C58	484E8F0	D14F778E44F5F4
DATE					KATHRYN E. FREE	D, J	.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	х	CASE DISPOSED			NON-FINAL DISPOSITION		
		GRANTED X	DENIED		GRANTED IN PART		OTHER
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER			SUBMIT ORDER		
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSFER/REA	SSIGN		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT		REFERENCE

451356/2017 MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT vs. HUDSON, TRACY E Motion No. 002

Page 6 of 6