All Pro Autobody Inc. v New York City Dept. of Consumer Affairs

2021 NY Slip Op 30120(U)

January 14, 2021

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 151170/2020

Judge: Debra A. James

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 47

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/15/2021

INDEX NO. 151170/2020

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT:	HON. DEBRA A. JAMES		PART IA	S MOTION 59EFM
	, J	ustice		
,		X	INDEX NO.	151170/2020
ALL PRO A	UTOBODY INC. and LUIS RIVERA,		MOTION DATE	03/24/2020
	Petitioners,		MOTION SEQ. NO.	001
	- V -			•
NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS,			DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION	
•	Respondent.			
*************		X		
	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF docur 1, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 3			
were read on	this motion to/for	ARTICL	E 78 (BODY OR OF	FICER)
	ARDER		•	

ORDER

Upon the foregoing documents, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the petition is granted only to the extent that the penalty of termination of petitioner's tow truck licenses is vacated, and the matter is remanded to respondent for imposition of a lesser penalty, and the proceeding brought pursuant to CPLR article 78 is otherwise disposed by confirming the remainder of the determination dated November 21, 2019 of respondent, without costs.

DECISION

In its determination dated November 21, 2019 (determination), respondent found that petitioner

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/15/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 47

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/15/2021

INDEX NO. 151170/2020

"possessed automobile liability coverage for its tow trucks in the amount of less than the two hundred thousand dollars for injury or death of any one person resulting from any one accident, less than five hundred thousand dollars for injury or death from any one accident, and less than fifty thousand dollars to or (sic) destruction of property of one or more persons resulting from any one accident, for the period covering January 10, 2018 through March 7, 2019, in violation of 6 RCNY § 2-362(d)."

Such finding is neither arbitrary and capricious nor otherwise unlawful, and is, in fact, not disputed by petitioner. This court likewise finds rational, respondent's reasoning that petitioner's alleged ignorance of the purported "new policy requirements" is beside the point. As found by respondent, in each of the three applications, petitioner submitted certificates of insurance that reflected sufficient coverage under the current rule, while, ultimately, upon subpoena, Nationwide General Insurance Company produced the policies of insurance that showed that the actual coverage amounts were less than required under 6 RCNY 6 RCNY \$ 2-362(d).

However, while respondent states in its determination that "intent is not a requirement under the law", it repeats several times that petitioner submitted "fraudulent and falsified" certificates of insurance. This court finds such reasoning

Page 2 of 4

^{&#}x27;A review of the history notes for 6 RCNY § 2-362 show that the only amendment to subsection d with respect to liability insurance for the past ten years was to delete the word "personal" before the word "injury".

TLED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/15/2021 12:23 PM INDEX NO. 151170/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 47

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/15/2021

irrational as it fails to consider that each and every certificate states on its face that it was produced by non-party All City Insurance Services, which is documentary evidence that petitioner did not fabricate such documents. Such documentary evidence is also consistent with petitioner's sworn statement that he relied upon such insurance broker for its expertise in securing adequate Though certainly not an excuse for petitioner's violation of the mandated insurance requirements, such evidence establishes no more than negligence on the part of petitioner for not reading and comparing the certificates of insurance with the actual policies of insurance to confirm that his affirmations in the applications for the towing licenses were true and correct as Moreover, in its determination, petitioner to insurance coverage. finds "DCA concludes that as of March 7, 2019, All Pro Autobody has insurance coverage that satisfies the requirements in the laws and rules."

Therefore, "[i]n the absence of a finding of willfulness or an intent to deceive in connection with the foregoing violations,

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/15/2021 12:23 PM

INDEX NO. 151170/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 47

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/15/2021

the violations do not warrant cancellation of petitioner's license" (Platinum Pleasures of N.Y., Inc. v New York State Liquor Authority, 126 AD3d 587, 588-589 [1st Dept. 2015]).

1/14/2021 DATE	_	DEBRÁ A. JAMES, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	X CASE DISPOSED GRANTED DENIED	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION X GRANTED IN PART OTHER
APPLICATION: CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	SETTLE ORDER INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	SUBMIT ORDER FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE